

Abstract Algebra II

George Voutsadakis¹

¹Mathematics and Computer Science
Lake Superior State University

LSSU Math 342

1 Vector Spaces

- Definitions and Basic Theory
- The Matrix of a Linear Transformation
- Dual Vector Spaces
- Determinants

Subsection 1

Definitions and Basic Theory

Dictionary of Terms (Modules versus Vector Spaces)

Terminology for R any Ring

M is an R -module

m is an element of M

a is a ring element

N is a submodule of M

M/N is a quotient module

M is a free module of rank n

M is a finitely generated module

M is a nonzero cyclic module

$\varphi : M \rightarrow N$ is an R -module homomorphism

M and N are isomorphic as R -modules
the subset A of M generates M

$M = RA$

We assume F is a field and V a vector space over F .

Terminology for R a Field

M is a vector space over R

m is a vector in M

a is a scalar

N is a subspace of M

M/N is a quotient space

M is a vector space of dimension n

M is a finite dimensional vector space

M is a 1-dimensional vector space

$\varphi : M \rightarrow N$ is a linear transformation

M and N are isomorphic vector spaces
the subset A of M spans M

each element of M is a linear combination of elements of A , i.e., $M = \text{Span}(A)$

Independence and Bases

Definition (Independent Vectors and Bases)

- (1) A subset S of V is called a set of **linearly independent vectors** if an equation $\alpha_1 v_1 + \alpha_2 v_2 + \cdots + \alpha_n v_n = 0$, with $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n \in F$ and $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n \in S$, implies $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = \cdots = \alpha_n = 0$.
- (2) A **basis** of a vector space V is an ordered set of linearly independent vectors which span V . In particular two bases will be considered different even if one is simply a rearrangement of the other. This is sometimes referred to as an **ordered basis**.

Example:

- (1) The space $V = F[x]$ of polynomials in the variable x with coefficients from the field F is in particular a vector space over F .
The elements $1, x, x^2, \dots$ are linearly independent by definition, i.e., a polynomial is 0 if and only if all its coefficients are 0.
Since these elements also span V by definition, they are a basis for V .

Additional Example

- (2) The collection of solutions of a linear, homogeneous, constant coefficient differential equation (for example, $y'' - 3y' + 2y = 0$) over \mathbb{C} form a vector space over \mathbb{C} since differentiation is a linear operator. Elements of this vector space are linearly independent if they are linearly independent as functions.

For example, e^t and e^{2t} are easily seen to be solutions of the equation $y'' - 3y' + 2y = 0$ (differentiation with respect to t).

They are linearly independent functions: Assume $ae^t + be^{2t} = 0$.

- Set $t = 0$. We get $a + b = 0$.
- Set $t = 1$. We get $ae + be^2 = 0$.

The only solution to these two equations is $a = b = 0$.

It is a theorem in differential equations that these elements span the set of solutions of this equation. Hence they are a basis for this space.

Minimal Spanning Sets form Bases

Proposition

Assume the set $\mathcal{A} = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$ spans the vector space V but no proper subset of \mathcal{A} spans V . Then \mathcal{A} is a basis of V . In particular, any finitely generated (i.e., finitely spanned) vector space over F is a free F -module.

- It is only necessary to prove that v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n are linearly independent. Suppose

$$\alpha_1 v_1 + \alpha_2 v_2 + \cdots + \alpha_n v_n = 0,$$

where not all of the α_i are 0. By reordering, we may assume that $\alpha_1 \neq 0$ and then $v_1 = -\frac{1}{\alpha_1}(\alpha_2 v_2 + \cdots + \alpha_n v_n)$. Using this equation, any linear combination of v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n can be written as a linear combination of only v_2, v_3, \dots, v_n . It follows that $\{v_2, v_3, \dots, v_n\}$ also spans V . This is a contradiction.

An Example

- Let F be a field and consider $F[x]/(f(x))$, where $f(x) = x^n + a_{n-1}x^{n-1} + \cdots + a_1x + a_0$.

The ideal $(f(x))$ is a subspace of the vector space $F[x]$ and the quotient $F[x]/(f(x))$ is also a vector space over F .

By the Euclidean Algorithm, every polynomial $a(x) \in F[x]$ can be written uniquely in the form $a(x) = q(x)f(x) + r(x)$, where $r(x) \in F[x]$ and $0 \leq \deg r(x) \leq n-1$. Since $q(x)f(x) \in (f(x))$, it follows that every element of the quotient is represented by a polynomial $r(x)$ of degree $\leq n-1$. Two distinct such polynomials cannot be the same in the quotient since this would say their difference (which is a nonzero polynomial of degree at most $n-1$) would be divisible by $f(x)$ (which is of degree n). It follows that:

- The elements $\bar{1}, \bar{x}, \bar{x}^2, \dots, \bar{x}^{n-1}$ (the bar denotes image in the quotient) span $F[x]/(f(x))$ as a vector space over F ;
- No proper subset of these elements also spans $F[x]/(f(x))$.

Hence, these elements give a basis for $F[x]/(f(x))$.

Existence of Basic and Replacement

Corollary

Assume the finite set \mathcal{A} spans the vector space V . Then \mathcal{A} contains a basis of V .

- Any subset \mathcal{B} of \mathcal{A} spanning V such that no proper subset of \mathcal{B} also spans V (there clearly exist such subsets) is a basis for V .

Theorem (A Replacement Theorem)

Assume $\mathcal{A} = \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n\}$ is a basis for V containing n elements and $\{b_1, b_2, \dots, b_m\}$ is a set of linearly independent vectors in V . Then there is an ordering a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n , such that, for each $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$, the set $\{b_1, b_2, \dots, b_k, a_{k+1}, a_{k+2}, \dots, a_n\}$ is a basis of V . In other words, the elements b_1, b_2, \dots, b_m can be used to successively replace the elements of the basis \mathcal{A} , still retaining a basis. In particular, $n \geq m$.

- Proceed by induction on k .
If $k = 0$, there is nothing to prove, since \mathcal{A} is given as a basis for V .

Proof of Replacement (New Spanning Set)

- Suppose now that $\{b_1, b_2, \dots, b_k, a_{k+1}, a_{k+2}, \dots, a_n\}$ is a basis for V . Then, in particular, this is a spanning set. So b_{k+1} is a linear combination: $b_{k+1} = \beta_1 b_1 + \dots + \beta_k b_k + \alpha_{k+1} a_{k+1} + \dots + \alpha_n a_n$. Not all of the α_i can be 0, since this would imply b_{k+1} is a linear combination of b_1, b_2, \dots, b_k , contrary to the linear independence of these elements. By reordering if necessary, we may assume $\alpha_{k+1} \neq 0$. Solving this last equation for α_{k+1} as a linear combination of b_{k+1} and $b_1, b_2, \dots, b_k, a_{k+2}, \dots, a_n$ shows

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Span}\{b_1, b_2, \dots, b_k, b_{k+1}, a_{k+2}, \dots, a_n\} \\ &= \text{Span}\{b_1, b_2, \dots, b_k, a_{k+1}, a_{k+2}, \dots, a_n\} \\ &= V. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\{b_1, b_2, \dots, b_k, b_{k+1}, a_{k+2}, \dots, a_n\}$ is a spanning set for V .

Proof of Replacement (Independence of the New Set)

- It remains to show $b_1, \dots, b_k, b_{k+1}, a_{k+2}, \dots, a_n$ are linearly independent. Suppose

$$\beta'_1 b_1 + \dots + \beta'_k b_k + \beta'_{k+1} b_{k+1} + \alpha'_{k+2} a_{k+2} + \dots + \alpha'_n a_n = 0.$$

Substitute for b_{k+1} from the expression

$$b_{k+1} = \beta_1 b_1 + \dots + \beta_k b_k + \alpha_{k+1} a_{k+1} + \dots + \alpha_n a_n.$$

We obtain a linear combination of $\{b_1, b_2, \dots, b_k, a_{k+1}, a_{k+2}, \dots, a_n\}$ equal to 0, where the coefficient of a_{k+1} is $\beta'_{k+1} \alpha_{k+1}$. This set is a basis by induction. Hence, all the coefficients in the linear combination = 0. Thus, $\beta'_{k+1} \alpha_{k+1} = 0$. Since $\alpha_{k+1} \neq 0$, $\beta'_{k+1} = 0$. But then we get

$$\beta'_1 b_1 + \dots + \beta'_k b_k + \alpha'_{k+2} a_{k+2} + \dots + \alpha'_n a_n = 0.$$

Again by the induction hypothesis all the other coefficients must be 0 as well. Thus $\{b_1, b_2, \dots, b_k, b_{k+1}, a_{k+2}, \dots, a_n\}$ is a basis for V .

Dimension

Corollary

- (1) Suppose V has a finite basis with n elements. Any set of linearly independent vectors has $\leq n$ elements. Any spanning set has $\geq n$ elements.
- (2) If V has some finite basis, then any two bases of V have the same cardinality.

- (1) This is a restatement of the last result of the theorem.
- (2) A basis is both a spanning set and a linearly independent set.

Definition (Dimension)

If V is a finitely generated F -module (i.e., has a finite basis) the cardinality of any basis is called the **dimension** of V and is denoted by $\dim_F V$, or just $\dim V$ when F is clear from the context, and V is said to be **finite dimensional** over F . If V is not finitely generated, V is said to be **infinite dimensional** (written $\dim V = \infty$).

Examples

- (1) The dimension of the space of solutions to the differential equation $y'' - 3y' + 2y = 0$ over \mathbb{C} is 2 (with basis e^t, e^{2t} , for example).

In general, it is a theorem in differential equations that the space of solutions of an n -th order linear, homogeneous, constant coefficient differential equation of degree n over \mathbb{C} form a vector space over \mathbb{C} of dimension n .

- (2) The dimension over F of the quotient $F[x]/(f(x))$ by the nonzero polynomial $f(x)$ considered above is $n = \deg f(x)$.

The space $F[x]$ and its subspace $(f(x))$ are infinite dimensional vector spaces over F .

Building Up Lemma and Isomorphism Theorem

Lemma (Building-Up Lemma)

If A is a set of linearly independent vectors in the finite dimensional space V , then there exists a basis of V containing A .

- This is also immediate from the theorem, since we can use the elements of A to successively replace the elements of any given basis for V (which exists by the assumption that V is finite dimensional).

Theorem

If V is an n dimensional vector space over F , then $V \cong F^n$. In particular, any two finite dimensional vector spaces over F of the same dimension are isomorphic.

- Let v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n be a basis for V . Define the map $\varphi : F^n \rightarrow V$ by $\varphi(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n) = \alpha_1 v_1 + \alpha_2 v_2 + \dots + \alpha_n v_n$. The map φ is F -linear, surjective since the v_i span V , and is injective since the v_i are linearly independent. Hence φ is an isomorphism.

Example I

(1) Let \mathbb{F} be a finite field with q elements and let W be a k -dimensional vector space over \mathbb{F} . The number of distinct bases of W is $(q^k - 1)(q^k - q)(q^k - q^2) \cdots (q^k - q^{k-1})$.

Every basis of W can be built up as follows:

- Any nonzero vector w_1 can be the first element of a basis. Since W is isomorphic to \mathbb{F}^k , $|W| = q^k$, so there are $q^k - 1$ choices for w_1 .
- Any vector not in the 1-dimensional space spanned by w_1 is linearly independent from w_1 and so may be chosen for the second basis element, w_2 . A 1-dimensional space is isomorphic to \mathbb{F} and so has q elements. Thus, there are $q^k - q$ choices for w_2 .
- Proceeding in this way one sees that at the i -th stage, any vector not in the $(i - 1)$ -dimensional space spanned by w_1, w_2, \dots, w_{i-1} will be linearly independent from w_1, w_2, \dots, w_{i-1} and so may be chosen for the i -th basis vector w_i . An $(i - 1)$ -dimensional space is isomorphic to \mathbb{F}^{i-1} and so has q^{i-1} elements. So, there are $q^k - q^{i-1}$ choices for w_i .

The process terminates when w_k is chosen, for then we have k linear independent vectors in a k -dimensional space, hence a basis.

Example II

- (2) Let \mathbb{F} be a finite field with q elements and let V be an n -dimensional vector space over \mathbb{F} . For each $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, we show that the number of subspaces of V of dimension k is $\frac{(q^n-1)(q^n-q)\cdots(q^n-q^{k-1})}{(q^k-1)(q^k-q)\cdots(q^k-q^{k-1})}$.

Any k -dimensional space is spanned by k independent vectors.

- By arguing as in the preceding example the numerator of the above expression is the number of ways of picking k independent vectors from an n -dimensional space.
- Two sets of k independent vectors span the same space W if and only if they are both bases of the k -dimensional space W .

In order to obtain the formula for the number of distinct subspaces of dimension k we must divide by the number of repetitions, i.e., the number of bases of a fixed k -dimensional space. This factor which appears in the denominator is precisely this number.

The Dimensions of a Subspace and of its Quotient Space

- We prove a relation between the dimensions of a subspace, the associated quotient space and the whole space:

Theorem

Let V be a vector space over F and let W be a subspace of V . Then V/W is a vector space with $\dim V = \dim W + \dim V/W$, where, if one side is infinite, then both are.

- Suppose $\dim W = m$ and $\dim V = n$ and let w_1, w_2, \dots, w_m be a basis for W . These linearly independent elements of V can be extended to a basis $w_1, w_2, \dots, w_m, v_{m+1}, \dots, v_n$ of V . The natural surjective projection map of V into V/W maps each w_i to 0. No linear combination of the v_i is mapped to 0, since no linear combination is in W . Hence, the image V/W of this projection map is isomorphic to the subspace of V spanned by the v_i . Hence $\dim V/W = n - m$, the conclusion when the dimensions are finite.
If either side is infinite the other side is also infinite.

Images and Kernels of Linear Transformations

Corollary

Let $\varphi : V \rightarrow U$ be a linear transformation of vector spaces over F . Then $\ker\varphi$ is a subspace of V , $\varphi(V)$ is a subspace of U and

$$\dim V = \dim \ker\varphi + \dim \varphi(V).$$

- We know that $\varphi(V) \cong V/\ker\varphi$.

In particular, $\dim \varphi(V) = \dim V/\ker\varphi$.

Now we get, using the theorem,

$$\begin{aligned} \dim V &= \dim \ker\varphi + \dim V/\ker\varphi \\ &= \dim \ker\varphi + \dim \varphi(V). \end{aligned}$$

Characteristic Properties of Isomorphisms

Corollary

Let $\varphi : V \rightarrow W$ be a linear transformation of vector spaces of the same finite dimension. Then the following are equivalent:

- (1) φ is an isomorphism;
- (2) φ is injective, i.e., $\ker\varphi = 0$;
- (3) φ is surjective, i.e., $\varphi(V) = W$;
- (4) φ sends a basis of V to a basis of W .

- The equivalence of these conditions follows from the corollary by counting dimensions.

Null Space and Nullity

Definition (Null Space and Nullity)

If $\varphi : V \rightarrow U$ is a linear transformation of vector spaces over F , $\ker \varphi$ is sometimes called the **null space** of φ and the dimension of $\ker \varphi$ is called the **nullity** of φ . The dimension of $\varphi(V)$ is called the **rank** of φ . If $\ker \varphi = 0$, the transformation is said to be **nonsingular**.

Example: Let F be a finite field with q elements, V an n -dimensional vector space over F . The general linear group $GL(V)$ is the group of all nonsingular linear transformations from V to V under composition. The order is $|GL(V)| = (q^n - 1)(q^n - q)(q^n - q^2) \cdots (q^n - q^{n-1})$. Fix a basis v_1, \dots, v_n of V . A linear transformation is nonsingular if and only if it sends this basis to another basis of V . Moreover, if w_1, \dots, w_n is any basis of V , by UMP, there is a unique linear transformation which sends v_i to w_i , $1 \leq i \leq n$. Thus, the number of nonsingular linear transformations from V to itself equals the number of distinct bases of V . This number is the order of $GL(V)$.

Subsection 2

The Matrix of a Linear Transformation

Obtaining a Matrix of a Linear Transformation

- Let V, W be vector spaces over the same field F .
 - Let $\mathcal{B} = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$ be an (ordered) basis of V ;
 - Let $\mathcal{E} = \{w_1, w_2, \dots, w_m\}$ be an (ordered) basis of W .

Let $\varphi \in \text{Hom}(V, W)$ be a linear transformation from V to W .

- For each $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, write the image of v_j under φ in terms of the basis \mathcal{E} :

$$\varphi(v_j) = \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_{ij} w_i.$$

- Let $M_{\mathcal{B}}^{\mathcal{E}}(\varphi) = (a_{ij})$ be the $m \times n$ matrix whose i, j entry is α_{ij} .
- The matrix $M_{\mathcal{B}}^{\mathcal{E}}(\varphi)$ is called the **matrix of φ with respect to the bases \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{E}** .

The domain basis is the lower and the codomain basis the upper letters appearing after the “ M ”.

Obtaining a Linear Transformation from a Matrix

- Given $M_{\mathcal{B}}^{\mathcal{E}}(\varphi)$, we can recover the linear transformation φ as follows:
To compute $\varphi(v)$ for $v \in V$, write v in terms of the basis \mathcal{B}

$$v = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i v_i, \quad \alpha_i \in F;$$

Then calculate the product of the $m \times n$ and $n \times 1$ matrices

$$M_{\mathcal{B}}^{\mathcal{E}}(\varphi) \times \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 \\ \alpha_2 \\ \vdots \\ \alpha_n \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \beta_1 \\ \beta_2 \\ \vdots \\ \beta_m \end{pmatrix}.$$

The image of v under φ is $\varphi(v) = \sum_{i=1}^m \beta_i w_i$, i.e., the column vector of coordinates of $\varphi(v)$ with respect to the basis \mathcal{E} are obtained by multiplying the matrix $M_{\mathcal{B}}^{\mathcal{E}}(\varphi)$ by the column vector of coordinates of v with respect to the basis \mathcal{B} : $[\varphi(v)]_{\mathcal{E}} = M_{\mathcal{B}}^{\mathcal{E}}(\varphi)[v]_{\mathcal{B}}$.

Representation

Definition

The $m \times n$ matrix $A = (a_{ij})$ associated to the linear transformation φ above is said to **represent** the linear transformation φ **with respect to the bases** \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{E} . Similarly, φ is the linear transformation **represented by** A **with respect to the bases** \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{E} .

Example: Let $V = \mathbb{R}^3$ with the standard basis $\mathcal{B} = \{(1, 0, 0), (0, 1, 0), (0, 0, 1)\}$. Let $W = \mathbb{R}^2$ with the standard basis $\mathcal{E} = \{(1, 0), (0, 1)\}$. Let φ be the linear transformation

$$\varphi(x, y, z) = (x + 2y, x + y + z).$$

Since $\varphi(1, 0, 0) = (1, 1)$, $\varphi(0, 1, 0) = (2, 1)$, $\varphi(0, 0, 1) = (0, 1)$, the matrix $A = M_{\mathcal{B}}^{\mathcal{E}}(\varphi)$ is the matrix $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$.

Another Example

- Let $V = W$ be the 2-dimensional space of solutions of the differential equation $y'' - 3y' + 2y = 0$ over \mathbb{C} and let $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{E}$ be the basis $v_1 = e^t$, $v_2 = e^{2t}$.

Since the coefficients of this equation are constants, it is easy to check that, if y is a solution then its derivative y' is also a solution.

It follows that the map

$$\varphi = \frac{d}{dt} = \text{differentiation (with respect to } t)$$

is a linear transformation from V to itself.

Note that $\varphi(v_1) = \frac{d(e^t)}{dt} = e^t = v_1$ and $\varphi(v_2) = \frac{d(e^{2t})}{dt} = 2e^{2t} = 2v_2$.

Thus, the corresponding matrix with respect to these bases is the diagonal matrix $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$.

A Third Example

- Let $V = W = \mathbb{Q}^3 = \{(x, y, z) : x, y, z \in \mathbb{Q}\}$ be the 3-dimensional vector space of ordered 3-tuples with entries from the field $F = \mathbb{Q}$ of rational numbers.

Let $\varphi : V \rightarrow V$ be the linear transformation

$$\varphi(x, y, z) = (9x + 4y + 5z, -4x - 3z, -6x - 4y - 2z), \quad x, y, z \in \mathbb{Q}.$$

Take the standard basis $e_1 = (1, 0, 0)$, $e_2 = (0, 1, 0)$, $e_3 = (0, 0, 1)$ for V and for $W = V$.

We have $\varphi(1, 0, 0) = (9, -4, -6)$, $\varphi(0, 1, 0) = (4, 0, -4)$,
 $\varphi(0, 0, 1) = (5, -3, -2)$.

Hence, the matrix A representing this linear transformation with

respect to these bases is $A = \begin{pmatrix} 9 & 4 & 5 \\ -4 & 0 & -3 \\ -6 & -4 & -2 \end{pmatrix}$.

Isomorphism Between $\text{Hom}_F(V, W)$ and $M_{m \times n}(F)$

Theorem

Let V be a vector space over F of dimension n and let W be a vector space over F of dimension m , with bases \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{E} , respectively. Then the map $\text{Hom}_F(V, W) \rightarrow M_{m \times n}(F)$ from the space of linear transformations from V to W to the space of $m \times n$ matrices with coefficients in F defined by $\varphi \mapsto M_{\mathcal{B}}^{\mathcal{E}}(\varphi)$ is a vector space isomorphism. In particular, there is a bijective correspondence between linear transformations and their associated matrices with respect to a fixed choice of bases.

- The columns of the matrix $M_{\mathcal{B}}^{\mathcal{E}}(\varphi)$ are determined by the action of φ on \mathcal{B} . Thus, the map $\varphi \mapsto M_{\mathcal{B}}^{\mathcal{E}}(\varphi)$ is F -linear, since φ is F -linear.
 - This map is surjective: Let $M \in M_{m \times n}(F)$. Define $\varphi : V \rightarrow W$ by $\varphi(v_j) = \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_{ij} w_i$ and extend it by linearity. Then φ is a linear transformation and $M_{\mathcal{B}}^{\mathcal{E}}(\varphi) = M$.
 - The map is injective: Two linear transformations agreeing on a basis are the same.

Nonsingularity

Corollary

The dimension of $\text{Hom}_F(V, W)$ is $(\dim V)(\dim W)$.

- The dimension of $M_{m \times n}(F)$ is mn .

Definition

An $m \times n$ matrix A is called **nonsingular** if $Ax = 0$, with $x \in F^n$, implies $x = 0$.

- The connection of the term nonsingular applied to matrices and to linear transformations is the following:

Let $A = M_{\mathcal{B}}^{\mathcal{E}}(\varphi)$ be the matrix associated to the linear transformation φ (with some choice of bases \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{E}).

Then independently of the choice of bases, the $m \times n$ matrix A is nonsingular if and only if the linear transformation φ is a nonsingular linear transformation from the n -dimensional space V to the m -dimensional space W .

Linear Transformations and Matrices

Theorem

$M_{\mathcal{D}}^{\mathcal{E}}(\varphi \circ \psi) = M_{\mathcal{B}}^{\mathcal{E}}(\varphi)M_{\mathcal{D}}^{\mathcal{B}}(\psi)$, i.e., with respect to a compatible choice of bases, the product of the matrices representing the linear transformations φ and ψ is the matrix representing the composite linear transformation $\varphi \circ \psi$.

- Assume that U , V and W are all finite dimensional vector spaces over F with ordered bases \mathcal{D} , \mathcal{B} and \mathcal{E} , respectively, where \mathcal{B} and \mathcal{E} are as before and suppose $\mathcal{D} = \{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_k\}$. Assume $\psi : U \rightarrow V$ and $\varphi : V \rightarrow W$ are linear transformations. Their composite, $\varphi \circ \psi$, is a linear transformation from U to W . So we can compute its matrix with respect to the appropriate bases. $M_{\mathcal{D}}^{\mathcal{E}}(\varphi \circ \psi)$ is found by computing $\varphi \circ \psi(u_j) = \sum_{i=1}^m \gamma_{ij} w_i$ and putting the coefficients γ_{ij} down the j -th column of $M_{\mathcal{D}}^{\mathcal{E}}(\varphi \circ \psi)$. Next, compose the matrices of ψ and φ separately: $\psi(u_j) = \sum_{p=1}^n \alpha_{pj} v_p$ and $\varphi(v_p) = \sum_{i=1}^m \beta_{ip} w_i$, so that $M_{\mathcal{D}}^{\mathcal{B}}(\psi) = (\alpha_{pj})$ and $M_{\mathcal{B}}^{\mathcal{E}}(\varphi) = (\beta_{ip})$.

Linear Transformations and Matrices (Cont'd)

- Using $M_{\mathcal{D}}^{\mathcal{B}}(\psi) = (\alpha_{pj})$ and $M_{\mathcal{B}}^{\mathcal{E}}(\varphi) = (\beta_{ip})$ we can find an expression for the γ 's in terms of the α 's and β 's as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \varphi \circ \psi(u_j) &= \varphi\left(\sum_{p=1}^n \alpha_{pj} v_p\right) = \sum_{p=1}^n \alpha_{pj} \varphi(v_p) \\
 &= \sum_{p=1}^n \alpha_{pj} \sum_{i=1}^m \beta_{ip} w_i \\
 &= \sum_{p=1}^n \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_{pj} \beta_{ip} w_i \\
 &= \sum_{i=1}^m \left(\sum_{p=1}^n \alpha_{pj} \beta_{ip}\right) w_i.
 \end{aligned}$$

- Thus, γ_{ij} , which is the coefficient of w_i in the above expression, is $\gamma_{ij} = \sum_{p=1}^n \alpha_{pj} \beta_{ip}$;
- Computing the product of the matrices for φ and ψ (in that order) we obtain $(\beta_{ij})(\alpha_{ij}) = (\delta_{ij})$, where $\delta_{ij} = \sum_{p=1}^m \beta_{ip} \alpha_{pj}$.

By comparing the two sums above and using the commutativity of field multiplication, we see that for all i and j , $\gamma_{ij} = \delta_{ij}$.

Associativity and Distributivity of Matrix Multiplication

Corollary

Matrix multiplication is associative and distributive (whenever the dimensions are such as to make products defined).

An $n \times n$ matrix A is nonsingular if and only if it is invertible.

- Let A, B and C be matrices such that the products $(AB)C$ and $A(BC)$ are defined. Let S, T and R denote the associated linear transformations. By the theorem, the linear transformation corresponding to AB is the composite $S \circ T$. So the linear transformation corresponding to $(AB)C$ is the composite $(S \circ T) \circ R$. Similarly, the linear transformation corresponding to $A(BC)$ is the composite $S \circ (T \circ R)$. Since function composition is associative, these linear transformations are the same. Hence, $(AB)C = A(BC)$.
The distributivity is proved similarly.

Nonsingularity and Invertibility

- Suppose A is invertible and $Ax = 0$. Then

$$x = A^{-1}Ax = A^{-1}0 = 0.$$

So A is nonsingular.

Conversely, suppose A is nonsingular. Fix bases \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{E} for V . Let φ be the linear transformation of V to itself represented by A with respect to these bases. By the corollary, φ is an isomorphism of V to itself. Hence, it has an inverse, φ^{-1} . Let B be the matrix representing φ^{-1} with respect to the bases \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{B} . Then

$$AB = M_{\mathcal{B}}^{\mathcal{E}}(\varphi)M_{\mathcal{E}}^{\mathcal{B}}(\varphi^{-1}) = M_{\mathcal{E}}^{\mathcal{E}}(\varphi \circ \varphi^{-1}) = M_{\mathcal{E}}^{\mathcal{E}}(1) = I.$$

Similarly, $BA = I$. So B is the inverse of A .

Group of Linear Transformations

Corollary

- (1) If \mathcal{B} is a basis of the n -dimensional space V , the map $\varphi \mapsto M_{\mathcal{B}}^{\mathcal{B}}(\varphi)$ is a ring and a vector space isomorphism of $\text{Hom}_F(V, V)$ onto the space $M_n(F)$ of $n \times n$ matrices with coefficients in F .
 - (2) $\text{GL}(V) \cong \text{GL}_n(F)$, where $\dim V = n$. In particular, if F is a finite field, the order of the finite group $\text{GL}_n(F)$ (which equals $|\text{GL}(V)|$) is given by the formula developed previously.
-
- (1) We have already seen that this map is an isomorphism of vector spaces over F . The corollary shows that $M_n(F)$ is a ring under matrix multiplication. The theorem shows that multiplication is preserved under this map. Hence, it is also a ring isomorphism.
 - (2) This is immediate from Part (1) since a ring isomorphism sends units to units.

Row and Column Rank

Definition (Row Rank and Column Rank)

If A is any $m \times n$ matrix with entries from F , the **row rank** (respectively, **column rank**) of A is the maximal number of linearly independent rows (respectively, columns) of A (where the rows or columns of A are considered as vectors in affine n -space, m -space, respectively).

- The rank of φ as a linear transformation equals the column rank of the matrix $M_B^{\mathcal{E}}(\varphi)$.
- We will see that the row rank and the column rank of any matrix are the same.

Similarity

Definition (Similarity)

Two $n \times n$ matrices A and B are said to be **similar** if there is an invertible (i.e., nonsingular) $n \times n$ matrix P , such that

$$P^{-1}AP = B.$$

Two linear transformations φ and ψ from a vector space V to itself are said to be **similar** if there is a nonsingular linear transformation ξ from V to V , such that

$$\xi^{-1}\varphi\xi = \psi.$$

Transition or Change of Basis Matrix

- Suppose \mathcal{B} and \mathcal{E} are two bases of the same vector space V and let $\varphi \in \text{Hom}_F(V, V)$.

Let I be the identity map from V to V and let $P = M_{\mathcal{E}}^{\mathcal{B}}(I)$ be its associated matrix:

- Write the elements of the basis \mathcal{E} in terms of the basis \mathcal{B} ;
- Use the resulting coordinates for the columns of the matrix P .

Note that if $\mathcal{B} \neq \mathcal{E}$ then P is not the identity matrix.

Then $P^{-1}M_{\mathcal{B}}^{\mathcal{B}}(\varphi)P = M_{\mathcal{E}}^{\mathcal{E}}(\varphi)$.

If $[v]_{\mathcal{B}}$ is the $n \times 1$ matrix of coordinates for $v \in V$ with respect to the basis \mathcal{B} , and similarly $[v]_{\mathcal{E}}$ is the $n \times 1$ matrix of coordinates for $v \in V$ with respect to the basis \mathcal{E} , then $[v]_{\mathcal{B}} = P[v]_{\mathcal{E}}$.

- The matrix P is called the **transition** or **change of basis matrix** from \mathcal{B} to \mathcal{E} . This similarity action on $M_{\mathcal{B}}^{\mathcal{B}}(\varphi)$ is called a **change of basis**.
- Thus, the matrices associated to the same linear transformation with respect to two different bases are similar.

Transition or Change of Basis Matrix (Cont'd)

- Conversely, suppose A and B are $n \times n$ matrices similar by a nonsingular matrix P .

Let \mathcal{B} be a basis for the n -dimensional vector space V .

Define the linear transformation φ of V (with basis \mathcal{B}) to V (again with basis \mathcal{B}) using the given matrix A , i.e., $\varphi(v_j) = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_{ij} v_i$.

Then $A = M_{\mathcal{B}}^{\mathcal{B}}(\varphi)$ by definition of φ .

Define a new basis \mathcal{E} of V by using the i -th column of P for the coordinates of w_i in terms of the basis \mathcal{B} ($P = M_{\mathcal{E}}^{\mathcal{B}}(I)$ by definition).

Then $B = P^{-1}AP = P^{-1}M_{\mathcal{B}}^{\mathcal{B}}(\varphi)P = M_{\mathcal{B}}^{\mathcal{E}}(I)M_{\mathcal{B}}^{\mathcal{B}}(\varphi)M_{\mathcal{E}}^{\mathcal{B}}(I) = M_{\mathcal{E}}^{\mathcal{E}}(\varphi)$ is the matrix associated to φ with respect to the basis \mathcal{E} .

- This shows that any two similar $n \times n$ matrices arise in this fashion as the matrices representing the same linear transformation with respect to two different choices of bases.

Similarity Classes or Conjugacy Classes

- Change of basis for a linear transformation from V to itself is the same as conjugation by some element of the group $GL(V)$ of nonsingular linear transformations of V to V .
- In particular, the relation “similarity” is an equivalence relation whose equivalence classes are the orbits of $GL(V)$ acting by conjugation on $\text{Hom}_F(V, V)$.
- If $\varphi \in GL(V)$ (i.e., φ is an invertible linear transformation), then the similarity class of φ is none other than the conjugacy class of φ in the group $GL(V)$.

Example

- Let $V = \mathbb{Q}^3$ and let φ be the linear transformation

$$\varphi(x, y, z) = (9x + 4y + 5z, -4x - 3z, -6x - 4y - 2z), \quad x, y, z \in \mathbb{Q},$$

from V to itself.

With respect to the standard basis, \mathcal{B} , $b_1 = (1, 0, 0)$, $b_2 = (0, 1, 0)$, $b_3 = (0, 0, 1)$, we saw that the matrix A representing this linear transformation is

$$A = M_{\mathcal{B}}^{\mathcal{B}}(\varphi) = \begin{pmatrix} 9 & 4 & 5 \\ -4 & 0 & -3 \\ -6 & -4 & -2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Example (Cont'd)

$$\varphi(x, y, z) = (9x + 4y + 5z, -4x - 3z, -6x - 4y - 2z), \quad x, y, z \in \mathbb{Q}.$$

- Take now the basis, \mathcal{E} , $e_1 = (2, -1, -2)$, $e_2 = (1, 0, -1)$, $e_3 = (3, -2, -2)$ for V .

We have

$$\varphi(e_1) = \varphi(2, -1, -2) = (4, -2, -4) = 2e_1 + 0e_2 + 0e_3;$$

$$\varphi(e_2) = \varphi(1, 0, -1) = (4, -1, -4) = 1e_1 + 2e_2 + 0e_3;$$

$$\varphi(e_3) = \varphi(3, -2, -2) = (9, -6, -6) = 0e_1 + 0e_2 + 3e_3.$$

Hence, the matrix representing φ with respect to this basis is the matrix

$$B = M_{\mathcal{E}}^{\mathcal{E}}(\varphi) = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 3 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Example (Cont'd)

- We have
 - $\mathcal{B} = \{b_1, b_2, b_3\} = \{(1, 0, 0), (0, 1, 0), (0, 0, 1)\}$;
 - $\mathcal{E} = \{e_1, e_2, e_3\} = \{(2, -1, -2), (1, 0, -1), (3, -2, -2)\}$.
- Writing the elements of the basis \mathcal{E} in terms of the basis \mathcal{B} , we have

$$e_1 = 2b_1 - b_2 - 2b_3;$$

$$e_2 = b_1 - b_3;$$

$$e_3 = 3b_1 - 2b_2 - 2b_3.$$

So the matrix $P = M_{\mathcal{E}}^{\mathcal{B}}(I) = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 & 3 \\ -1 & 0 & -2 \\ -2 & -1 & -2 \end{pmatrix}$ with inverse

$$P^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} -2 & -1 & -2 \\ 2 & 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

This P conjugates A into B , i.e., $P^{-1}AP = B$.

Subsection 3

Dual Vector Spaces

Dual Space and Linear Functionals

Definition (Dual Space, Linear Functional)

- (1) For V any vector space over F , let $V^* = \text{Hom}_F(V, F)$ be the space of linear transformations from V to F , called the **dual space** of V . Elements of V^* are called **linear functionals**.
- (2) If $\mathcal{B} = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$ is a basis of the finite dimensional space V , define $v_i^* \in V^*$, for each $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ by its action on the basis \mathcal{B} :

$$v_i^*(v_j) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } i = j \\ 0, & \text{if } i \neq j \end{cases}, \quad 1 \leq j \leq n.$$

Proposition

With notations as above, $\{v_1^*, v_2^*, \dots, v_n^*\}$ is a basis of V^* . In particular, if V is finite dimensional, then V^* has the same dimension as V .

Dual Basis

- Observe that since V is finite dimensional,

$$\dim V^* = \dim \text{Hom}_F(V, F) = \dim V = n.$$

So, since there are n of the v_i^* 's, it suffices to prove that they are linearly independent. Suppose

$$\alpha_1 v_1^* + \alpha_2 v_2^* + \cdots + \alpha_n v_n^* = 0$$

in $\text{Hom}_F(V, F)$. Applying this element to v_j , we obtain $\alpha_j = 0$. Since i is arbitrary these elements are linearly independent.

Definition (Dual Basis)

The basis $\{v_1^*, v_2^*, \dots, v_n^*\}$ of V^* is called the **dual basis** to $\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$.

Remarks on Linear Functionals

- If V is infinite dimensional it is always true that $\dim V < \dim V^*$.
- For spaces of arbitrary dimension, the space V^* is the “algebraic” dual space to V .
- If V has some additional structure, for example a continuous structure (i.e., a topology), then one may define other types of dual spaces (e.g., the continuous dual of V , defined by requiring the linear functionals to be continuous maps).
- One has to be careful when reading other works (particularly analysis books) to ascertain what qualifiers are implicit in the use of the terms “dual space” and “linear functional.”

Example: Let $[a, b]$ be a closed interval in \mathbb{R} . Let V be the real vector space of all continuous functions $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. If $a < b$, V is infinite dimensional. For each $g \in V$, the function $\varphi : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by $\varphi_g(f) = \int_a^b f(t)g(t)dt$ is a linear functional on V .

The Double Dual

Definition (The Double Dual)

The dual of V^* , namely V^{**} , is called the **double dual** or **second dual** of V .

- Note that for a finite dimensional space V ,

$$\dim V^{**} = \dim V^* = \dim V.$$

Hence, V and V^{**} are isomorphic vector spaces.

- For infinite dimensional spaces $\dim V < \dim V^{**}$.
So V and V^{**} cannot be isomorphic.

Evaluation at x

- Let X is any set.
- Let S be any set of functions of X into the field F .
- Fix a point x in X .
- Compute $f(x)$ as f ranges over all of S .
- This process, called **evaluation at** x , shows that for each $x \in X$, there is a function $E_x : S \rightarrow F$ defined by

$$E_x(f) = f(x).$$

- This gives a map $x \rightarrow E_x$ of X into the set of F -valued functions on S .
- If S “separates points”, i.e., for distinct points x and y of X , there is some $f \in S$, such that $f(x) \neq f(y)$, then the map $x \mapsto E_x$ is injective.

A Vector Space and its Double Dual

Theorem

There is a natural injective linear transformation from V to V^{**} . If V is finite dimensional then this linear transformation is an isomorphism.

- Let $v \in V$. Define the map (evaluation at v) $E_v : V^* \rightarrow F$ by $E_v(f) = f(v)$. Then

$$E_v(f + \alpha g) = (f + \alpha g)(v) = f(v) + \alpha g(v) = E_v(f) + \alpha E_v(g).$$

So E_v is a linear transformation from V^* to F . Hence E_v is an element of $\text{Hom}_F(V^*, F) = V^{**}$. This defines a natural map $\varphi : V \rightarrow V^{**}$ by

$$\varphi(v) = E_v.$$

φ is a linear map: For $v, w \in V$, $\alpha \in F$, we get, for all $f \in V^*$,

$$E_{v+\alpha w}(f) = f(v + \alpha w) = f(v) + \alpha f(w) = E_v(f) + \alpha E_w(f).$$

So $\varphi(v + \alpha w) = E_{v+\alpha w} = E_v + \alpha E_w = \varphi(v) + \alpha \varphi(w)$.

A Vector Space and its Double Dual (Cont'd)

- We set $\varphi : V \rightarrow V^{**}$, $\varphi(v) = E_v$ and showed φ is linear.

To see that φ is injective let v be any nonzero vector in V . By the Building Up Lemma there is a basis \mathcal{B} containing v . Let f be the linear transformation from V to F defined by sending v to 1 and every element of $\mathcal{B} - \{v\}$ to zero. Then $f \in V^*$ and

$$E_v(f) = f(v) = 1.$$

Thus $\varphi(v) = E_v$ is not zero in V^{**} . This proves $\ker \varphi = 0$, i.e., φ is injective.

If V has finite dimension n , then, by the proposition, V^* and hence also V^{**} has dimension n . In this case φ is an injective linear transformation from V to a finite dimensional vector space of the same dimension. Hence, it is an isomorphism.

Relating Dual Spaces

- Let V, W be finite dimensional vector spaces over F with bases \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{E} , respectively, and let $\mathcal{B}^*, \mathcal{E}^*$ be the dual bases. Fix some $\varphi \in \text{Hom}_F(V, W)$. Then, for each $f \in W^*$, the composite $f \circ \varphi$ is a linear transformation from V to F , that is $f \circ \varphi \in V^*$. Thus, the map $f \mapsto f \circ \varphi$ defines a function from W^* to V^* . We denote this induced function on dual spaces by φ^* .

Theorem

With notations as above, φ^* is a linear transformation from W^* to V^* and $M_{\mathcal{E}^*}^{\mathcal{B}^*}(\varphi^*)$ is the transpose of the matrix $M_{\mathcal{B}}^{\mathcal{E}}(\varphi)$ (recall that the transpose of the matrix (a_{ij}) is the matrix (a_{ji})).

- The map φ^* is linear because $(f + \alpha g) \circ \varphi = (f \circ \varphi) + \alpha(g \circ \varphi)$. The equations which define φ are (from its matrix)

$$\varphi(v_j) = \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_{ij} w_i, \quad 1 \leq j \leq n.$$

Relating Dual Spaces (Cont'd)

- To compute the matrix for φ^* , observe that by the definitions of φ^* and w_k^* ,

$$\varphi^*(w_k^*)(v_j) = (w_k^* \circ \varphi)(v_j) = w_k^*\left(\sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_{ij} w_i\right) = \alpha_{kj}.$$

Also, for all j ,

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_{ki} v_i^*\right)(v_j) = \alpha_{kj}.$$

This shows that the two linear functionals below agree on a basis of V , hence they are the same element of V^* : $\varphi^*(w_k^*) = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_{ki} v_i^*$. This determines the matrix for φ^* with respect to the bases \mathcal{E}^* and \mathcal{B}^* as the transpose of the matrix for φ .

Row Rank and Column Rank of a Matrix

Corollary

For any matrix A , the row rank of A equals the column rank of A .

- Let $\varphi : V \rightarrow W$ be a linear transformation whose matrix with respect to some fixed bases of V and W is A . By the theorem, the matrix of $\varphi^* : W^* \rightarrow V^*$ with respect to the dual bases is the transpose of A . The column rank of A is the rank of φ and the row rank of A (= the column rank of the transpose of A) is the rank of φ^* . It therefore suffices to show that φ and φ^* have the same rank.

Now $f \in \ker \varphi^*$ iff $\varphi^*(f) = 0$ iff $f \circ \varphi(v) = 0$, for all $v \in V$, iff $\varphi(V) \subseteq \ker f$ iff $f \in \text{Ann}(\varphi(V))$, where $\text{Ann}(S)$ is the annihilator of S .

Thus $\text{Ann}(\varphi(V)) = \ker \varphi^*$. But $\dim \ker \varphi^* = \dim W^* - \dim \varphi^*(W^*)$.

We can also show $\dim \text{Ann}(\varphi(V)) = \dim W - \dim \varphi(V)$. But W and W^* have the same dimension. So $\dim \varphi(V) = \dim \varphi^*(W^*)$.

Subsection 4

Determinants

Multilinear Functions

- Let R be any commutative ring with 1.
Let V_1, V_2, \dots, V_n, V and W be R -modules.

Definition (Multilinear Functions)

- (1) A map $\varphi : V_1 \times V_2 \times \dots \times V_n \rightarrow W$ is called **multilinear** if, for each fixed i and fixed elements $v_j \in V_j, j \neq i$, the map $V_i \rightarrow W$,

$$x \mapsto \varphi(v_1, \dots, v_{i-1}, x, v_{i+1}, \dots, v_n)$$

is an R -module homomorphism.

If $V_i = V, i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, then φ is called an **n -multilinear function on V** .
If, in addition, $W = \mathbb{R}$, φ is called an **n -multilinear form on V** .

- (2) An n -multilinear function φ on V is called **alternating** if $\varphi(v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n) = 0$, whenever $v_i = v_{i+1}$, for some $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n-1\}$ (i.e., φ is zero whenever two consecutive arguments are equal).

The function φ is called **symmetric** if interchanging v_i and v_j , for any i and j in (v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n) does not alter the value of φ on this n -tuple.

Remarks on Multilinear Functions

- When $n = 2$ (respectively, 3) one says φ is **bilinear** (respectively, **trilinear**).
- Also, when n is clear from the context we shall simply say φ is multilinear.

Example: For any fixed $m \geq 0$ the usual dot product on $V = \mathbb{R}^m$ is a bilinear form.

Properties of Alternating Multilinear Functions

Proposition

Let φ be an n -multilinear alternating function on V . Then:

- (1) $\varphi(v_1, \dots, v_{i-1}, v_{i+1}, v_i, v_{i+2}, \dots, v_n) = -\varphi(v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n)$, for any $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n-1\}$, i.e., the value of φ on an n -tuple is negated if two adjacent components are interchanged.
- (2) For each $\sigma \in S_n$,

$$\varphi(v_{\sigma(1)}, v_{\sigma(2)}, \dots, v_{\sigma(n)}) = \epsilon(\sigma)\varphi(v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n),$$

where $\epsilon(\sigma)$ is the sign of the permutation σ .

- (3) If $v_i = v_j$, for any pair of distinct $i, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, then $\varphi(v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n) = 0$.
- (4) If v_i is replaced by $v_i + \alpha v_j$ in (v_1, \dots, v_n) , for any $j \neq i$ and any $\alpha \in R$, the value of φ on this n -tuple is not changed.

Properties of Alternating Multilinear Functions (Cont'd)

- (1) Let $\psi(x, y)$ be the function φ with variable entries x and y in positions i and $i + 1$, respectively, and fixed entries v_j in position j , for all other j . Thus, (1) is the same as showing $\psi(y, x) = -\psi(x, y)$. Since φ is alternating $\psi(x + y, x + y) = 0$. Expanding $x + y$ gives $\psi(x + y, x + y) = \psi(x, x) + \psi(x, y) + \psi(y, x) + \psi(y, y)$. Again, by the alternating property of φ , the first and last terms on the right hand side of the latter equation are zero. Thus $0 = \psi(x, y) + \psi(y, x)$.
- (2) Every permutation can be written as a product of transpositions. Furthermore, every transposition may be written as a product of transpositions which interchange two successive integers. Thus, every permutation σ can be written as $\tau_1 \cdots \tau_m$, where τ_k is a transposition interchanging two successive integers, for all k . Apply (1) m times:

$$\varphi(v_{\sigma(1)}, v_{\sigma(2)}, \dots, v_{\sigma(n)}) = \epsilon(\tau_m) \cdots \epsilon(\tau_1) \varphi(v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n).$$

But ϵ is a homomorphism into the abelian group ± 1 . Hence, we get $\epsilon(\tau_1) \cdots \epsilon(\tau_m) = \epsilon(\tau_1 \cdots \tau_m) = \epsilon(\sigma)$.

Properties of Alternating Multilinear Functions (Cont'd)

(3) Choose σ fixing i and moving j to $i + 1$.

Then, $(v_{\sigma(1)}, v_{\sigma(2)}, \dots, v_{\sigma(n)})$ has two equal adjacent components.

So φ is zero on this n -tuple.

By (2), we get

$$\varphi(v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n) = \pm \varphi(v_{\sigma(1)}, v_{\sigma(2)}, \dots, v_{\sigma(n)}) = 0.$$

(4) On expanding by linearity in the i -th position and, then, applying (3), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(v_1, \dots, v_i + \alpha v_j, \dots, v_j, \dots, v_n) & \\ &= \varphi(v_1, \dots, v_i, \dots, v_j, \dots, v_n) \\ &\quad + \alpha \varphi(v_1, \dots, v_j, \dots, v_j, \dots, v_n) \\ &= \varphi(v_1, \dots, v_i, \dots, v_j, \dots, v_n). \end{aligned}$$

Alternating Multilinear Function in Determinant Form

Proposition

Assume φ is an n -multilinear alternating function on V and that for some v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n and $w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n \in V$ and some $\alpha_{ij} \in R$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}w_1 &= \alpha_{11}v_1 + \alpha_{21}v_2 + \cdots + \alpha_{n1}v_n \\w_2 &= \alpha_{12}v_1 + \alpha_{22}v_2 + \cdots + \alpha_{n2}v_n \\&\vdots \\w_n &= \alpha_{1n}v_1 + \alpha_{2n}v_2 + \cdots + \alpha_{nn}v_n\end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\varphi(w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n) = \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \epsilon(\sigma) \alpha_{\sigma(1)1} \alpha_{\sigma(2)2} \cdots \alpha_{\sigma(n)n} \varphi(v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n).$$

Proof of the Determinant Form

- If we expand $\varphi(w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n)$ by multilinearity, we obtain a sum of n^n terms of the form $\alpha_{i_1,1}\alpha_{i_2,2}\cdots\alpha_{i_n,n}\varphi(v_{i_1}, v_{i_2}, \dots, v_{i_n})$, where the indices i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n each run over $1, 2, \dots, n$. By the proposition, φ is zero on the terms where two or more of the i_j 's are equal. Thus, in this expansion we need only consider the terms where i_1, \dots, i_n are distinct. Such sequences are in bijective correspondence with permutations in S_n . So each nonzero term may be written as

$$\alpha_{\sigma(1)1}\alpha_{\sigma(2)2}\cdots\alpha_{\sigma(n)n}\varphi(v_{\sigma(1)}, v_{\sigma(2)}, \dots, v_{\sigma(n)}),$$

for some $\sigma \in S_n$. Applying (2) of the proposition to each of these terms in the expansion of $\varphi(w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n)$ gives the expression in the proposition.

The Determinant Function

Definition (The Determinant Function)

An $n \times n$ **determinant function** on R is any function $\det : M_{n \times n}(R) \rightarrow R$ that satisfies the following two axioms:

- (1) \det is an n -multilinear alternating form on $R^n (= V)$, where the n -tuples are the n columns of the matrices in $M_{n \times n}(R)$;
- (2) $\det(I) = 1$, where I is the $n \times n$ identity matrix.

- On occasion we shall write $\det(A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n)$ for $\det A$, where A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n are the columns of A .

Existence of a Determinant Function

Theorem

There is a unique $n \times n$ determinant function on R and it can be computed for any $n \times n$ matrix (α_{ij}) by the formula:

$$\det(\alpha_{ij}) = \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \epsilon(\sigma) \alpha_{\sigma(1)1} \alpha_{\sigma(2)2} \cdots \alpha_{\sigma(n)n}.$$

- Let A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n be the column vectors in a general $n \times n$ matrix (α_{ij}) . We check that the formula given in the statement of the theorem satisfies the axioms of a determinant:

$$\begin{aligned} & \det(A_1 \cdots A_i + \gamma B_i \cdots A_n) \\ &= \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \epsilon(\sigma) \alpha_{\sigma(1)1} \cdots (\alpha_{\sigma(i)i} + \gamma \beta_{\sigma(i)i}) \cdots \alpha_{\sigma(n)n} \\ &= \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \epsilon(\sigma) \alpha_{\sigma(1)1} \cdots \alpha_{\sigma(i)i} \cdots \alpha_{\sigma(n)n} \\ &\quad + \gamma \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \epsilon(\sigma) \alpha_{\sigma(1)1} \cdots \beta_{\sigma(i)i} \cdots \alpha_{\sigma(n)n} \\ &= \det(A_1 \cdots A_i \cdots A_n) + \gamma \det(A_1 \cdots B_i \cdots A_n); \end{aligned}$$

Existence of a Determinant Function (Cont'd)

- Suppose that the k th and $(k + 1)$ -st columns of A are equal. Note that for $\tau = (k \ k + 1)\sigma$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \epsilon(\tau)\alpha_{\tau(1)1} \cdots \alpha_{\tau(k)k} \alpha_{\tau(k+1)k+1} \cdots \alpha_{\tau(n)n} \\ &= -\epsilon(\sigma)\alpha_{\sigma(1)1} \cdots \alpha_{\sigma(k+1)k} \alpha_{\sigma(k)k+1} \cdots \alpha_{\sigma(n)n} \\ &= -\epsilon(\sigma)\alpha_{\sigma(1)1} \cdots \alpha_{\sigma(k)k} \alpha_{\sigma(k+1)k+1} \cdots \alpha_{\sigma(n)n}. \end{aligned}$$

As σ runs over S_n , $(k \ k + 1)\sigma$ also runs over S_n . So, we get that

$$\begin{aligned} & 2 \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \epsilon(\sigma)\alpha_{\sigma(1)1} \cdots \alpha_{\sigma(n)n} \\ &= \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \epsilon(\sigma)\alpha_{\sigma(1)1} \cdots \alpha_{\sigma(n)n} + \sum_{\substack{\sigma \in S_n \\ \tau := (k \ k+1)\sigma}} \epsilon(\tau)\alpha_{\tau(1)1} \cdots \alpha_{\tau(n)n} \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\det(A) = 0$.

$$\det(I) = \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \epsilon(\sigma)i_{\sigma(1)1} \cdots i_{\sigma(n)n} = +1 \cdot 1 \cdots 1 + \sum_{\substack{\sigma \in S_n \\ \sigma \neq id}} 0 = 1.$$

Hence a determinant function exists.

Uniqueness of the Determinant Function

- To prove uniqueness let e_i be the column n -tuple with 1 in position i and zeros in all other positions. Then

$$\begin{aligned}A_1 &= \alpha_{11}e_1 + \alpha_{21}e_2 + \cdots + \alpha_{n1}e_n \\A_2 &= \alpha_{12}e_1 + \alpha_{22}e_2 + \cdots + \alpha_{n2}e_n \\&\vdots \\A_n &= \alpha_{1n}e_1 + \alpha_{2n}e_2 + \cdots + \alpha_{nn}e_n\end{aligned}$$

By the proposition,

$$\det A = \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \epsilon(\sigma) \alpha_{\sigma(1)1} \alpha_{\sigma(2)2} \cdots \alpha_{\sigma(n)n} \det(e_1, \dots, e_n).$$

By axiom (2) of a determinant function $\det(e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n) = 1$. Hence, the value of $\det A$ is as claimed.

Determinant of the Transpose Matrix

Corollary

The determinant is an n -multilinear function of the rows of $M_{n \times n}(R)$ and for any $n \times n$ matrix A , $\det A = \det(A^t)$, where A^t is the transpose of A .

- The first statement is an immediate consequence of the second. So we show that a matrix and its transpose have the same determinant.

For $A = (\alpha_{ij})$ we have $\det A^t = \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \epsilon(\sigma) \alpha_{1\sigma(1)} \alpha_{2\sigma(2)} \cdots \alpha_{n\sigma(n)}$. Each number from 1 to n appears exactly once among $\sigma(1), \dots, \sigma(n)$. So we may rearrange the product $\alpha_{1\sigma(1)} \alpha_{2\sigma(2)} \cdots \alpha_{n\sigma(n)}$ as $\alpha_{\sigma^{-1}(1)1} \alpha_{\sigma^{-1}(2)2} \cdots \alpha_{\sigma^{-1}(n)n}$. Also, the homomorphism ϵ takes values in $\{\pm 1\}$. So $\epsilon(\sigma) = \epsilon(\sigma^{-1})$. Thus, the sum for $\det A^t$ may be rewritten as $\sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \epsilon(\sigma^{-1}) \alpha_{\sigma^{-1}(1)1} \alpha_{\sigma^{-1}(2)2} \cdots \alpha_{\sigma^{-1}(n)n}$. The latter sum is over all permutations. So the index σ may be replaced by σ^{-1} . The resulting expression is the sum for $\det A$.

Cramer's Rule

Theorem (Cramer's Rule)

If A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n are the columns of an $n \times n$ matrix A and $B = \beta_1 A_1 + \beta_2 A_2 + \dots + \beta_n A_n$, for some $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n \in R$, then

$$\beta_i \det A = \det(A_1, \dots, A_{i-1}, B, A_{i+1}, \dots, A_n).$$

- Start from the right side.

Replace B by $\beta_1 A_1 + \beta_2 A_2 + \dots + \beta_n A_n$.

Expand using multilinearity.

Use the fact that a determinant of a matrix with two identical columns is zero.

Determinant and Linear Independence

Corollary

If R is an integral domain, then $\det A = 0$, for $A \in M_n(R)$ if and only if the columns of A are R -linearly dependent as elements of the free R -module of rank n .

Also, $\det A = 0$ if and only if the rows of A are R -linearly dependent.

- Since $\det A = \det A^t$, the first sentence implies the second. Assume, first, that the columns of A are linearly dependent and $0 = \beta_1 A_1 + \beta_2 A_2 + \cdots + \beta_n A_n$ is a dependence relation on the columns of A with, say, $\beta_i \neq 0$. By Cramer's Rule,

$$\begin{aligned}\beta_i \det A &= \det(A_1, \dots, A_{i-1}, B, A_{i+1}, \dots, A_n) \\ &= \det(A_1, \dots, A_{i-1}, 0, A_{i+1}, \dots, A_n) \\ &= 0.\end{aligned}$$

But R is an integral domain and $\beta_i \neq 0$. Hence, $\det A = 0$.

Determinant and Linear Independence (Converse)

- Conversely, assume the columns of A are independent. Consider the integral domain R as embedded in its quotient field F . Then $M_{n \times n}(R)$ may be considered as a subring of $M_{n \times n}(F)$. Note that the determinant function on the subring is the restriction of the determinant function from $M_{n \times n}(F)$. The columns of A in this way become elements of F^n . Any nonzero F -linear combination of the columns of A which is zero in F^n gives, by multiplying the coefficients by a common denominator, a nonzero R -linear dependence relation. The columns of A must therefore be independent vectors in F^n . Since A has n columns, these form a basis of F^n . Thus, there are elements β_{ij} of F , such that for each i , the i -th basis vector e_i in F^n may be expressed as $e_i = \beta_{1i}A_1 + \beta_{2i}A_2 + \cdots + \beta_{ni}A_n$. The $n \times n$ identity matrix is the one whose columns are e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n . The determinant of the identity matrix is some F -multiple of $\det A$. But the determinant of the identity matrix is 1. Hence, $\det A \neq 0$.

Multiplicativity of the Determinant

Theorem

For matrices $A, B \in M_{n \times n}(R)$, $\det AB = (\det A)(\det B)$.

- Let $B = (\beta_{ij})$ and let A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n be the columns of A .
 $C = AB$ is the $n \times n$ matrix whose j -th column is

$$C_j = \beta_{1j}A_1 + \beta_{2j}A_2 + \cdots + \beta_{nj}A_n.$$

By the determinant formula, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \det C &= \det(C_1, \dots, C_n) \\ &= \left[\sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \epsilon(\sigma) \beta_{\sigma(1)1} \beta_{\sigma(2)2} \cdots \beta_{\sigma(n)n} \right] \det(A_1, \dots, A_n). \end{aligned}$$

The sum inside the brackets is the formula for $\det B$.

Hence, $\det C = (\det B)(\det A)$.

Cofactors and Cofactor Expansion Formula

Definition (Cofactor)

Let $A = (\alpha_{ij})$ be an $n \times n$ matrix. For each i, j , let A_{ij} be the $(n-1) \times (n-1)$ matrix obtained from A by deleting its i -th row and j -th column (an $(n-1) \times (n-1)$ **minor** of A). Then $(-1)^{i+j} \det(A_{ij})$ is the ij **cofactor** of A .

Theorem (The Cofactor Expansion Formula Along the i -th Row)

If $A = (\alpha_{ij})$ is an $n \times n$ matrix, then for each fixed $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, the determinant of A can be computed from the formula

$$\det A = (-1)^{i+1} \alpha_{i1} \det A_{i1} + (-1)^{i+2} \alpha_{i2} \det A_{i2} + \cdots + (-1)^{i+n} \alpha_{in} \det A_{in}.$$

- For each A let $D(A)$ be the element of R obtained from the cofactor expansion formula. We prove that D satisfies the axioms of a determinant function. Hence it must be the determinant function. Proceed by induction on n .

The Cofactor Expansion Formula (Multilinearity)

- For $n = 1$, let (α) be a 1×1 matrix.
Then $D((\alpha)) = \alpha$ and the result holds.
- Assume now that $n \geq 2$. We want to show that D is an alternating multilinear function of the columns. Fix an index k and consider the k -th column as varying and all other columns as fixed.
 - If $j \neq k$, α_{ij} does not depend on k . So $D(A_{ij})$ is linear in the k -th column by induction.
 - As the k -th column varies linearly, so does α_{ik} , whereas $D(A_{ik})$ remains unchanged (the k -th column has been deleted from A_{ik}).

Thus, each term in the formula for D varies linearly in the k -th column. This proves D is multilinear in the columns.

The Cofactor Expansion Formula (Alternation)

- To prove D is alternating, assume columns k and $k + 1$ of A are equal. If $j \neq k$ or $k + 1$, the two equal columns of A become two equal columns in the matrix A_{jj} . By induction $D(A_{jj}) = 0$. The formula for D , therefore, has at most two nonzero terms: When $j = k$ and when $j = k + 1$.
 - The minor matrices A_{ik} and A_{ik+1} are identical and $\alpha_{ik} = \alpha_{ik+1}$;
 - Thus, the two remaining terms in the expansion for D ,

$$(-1)^{i+k} \alpha_{ik} D(A_{ik}) \quad \text{and} \quad (-1)^{i+k+1} \alpha_{ik+1} D(A_{ik+1}),$$

are equal and appear with opposite signs;

- Hence they cancel.

Thus, $D(A) = 0$ if A has two adjacent columns which are equal, i.e., D is alternating.

Finally, it follows easily from the formula and induction that $D(I) = 1$, where I is the identity matrix.

This completes the induction.

Cofactor Formula for the Inverse of a Matrix

Theorem (Cofactor Formula for the Inverse of a Matrix)

Let $A = (\alpha_{ij})$ be an $n \times n$ matrix and let B be the transpose of its matrix of cofactors, i.e., $B = (\beta_{ij})$, where $\beta_{ij} = (-1)^{i+j} \det A_{ji}$, $1 \leq i, j \leq n$. Then $AB = BA = (\det A)I$. Moreover, $\det A$ is a unit in R if and only if A is a unit in $M_{n \times n}(R)$. In this case the matrix $\frac{1}{\det A} B$ is the inverse of A .

- The i, j entry of AB is $\alpha_{i1}\beta_{1j} + \alpha_{i2}\beta_{2j} + \cdots + \alpha_{in}\beta_{nj}$. This equals $\alpha_{i1}(-1)^{j+1}D(A_{j1}) + \alpha_{i2}(-1)^{j+2}D(A_{j2}) + \cdots + \alpha_{in}(-1)^{j+n}D(A_{jn})$.
 - If $i = j$, this is the cofactor expansion for $\det A$ along the i -th row. The diagonal entries of AB are thus all equal to $\det A$.
 - If $i \neq j$, let \bar{A} be the matrix A with the j -th row replaced by the i -th row, so $\det \bar{A} = 0$. By inspection $\bar{A}_{jk} = A_{jk}$ and $\alpha_{ik} = \bar{\alpha}_{jk}$, for every $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. By making these substitutions in the equation above, for each $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$, one sees that the i, j entry in AB equals $\bar{\alpha}_{j1}(-1)^{1+j}D(\bar{A}_{j1}) + \cdots + \bar{\alpha}_{jn}(-1)^{n+j}D(\bar{A}_{jn})$. This expression is the cofactor expansion for $\det \bar{A}$ along the j -th row. But $\det \bar{A} = 0$. Hence, all off diagonal terms of AB are zero. So $AB = (\det A)I$.

Cofactor Formula for the Inverse of a Matrix (Cont'd)

- It follows directly from the definition of B that the pair (A^t, B^t) satisfies the same hypotheses as the pair (A, B) . By what has already been shown it follows that $(BA)^t = A^t B^t = (\det A^t)I$. Since $\det A^t = \det A$ and the transpose of a diagonal matrix is itself, we obtain $BA = (\det A)I$ as well.
- If $d = \det A$ is a unit in R , then $d^{-1}B$ is a matrix with entries in R whose product with A (on either side) is the identity, i.e., A is a unit in $M_{n \times n}(R)$.
Conversely, assume that A is a unit in R , with (2-sided) inverse matrix C . But $\det C \in R$ and, moreover,

$$1 = \det I = \det AC = (\det A)(\det C) = (\det C)(\det A).$$

It follows that $\det A$ has a 2-sided inverse in R .