

Introduction to the Theory of Distributions

George Voutsadakis¹

¹Mathematics and Computer Science
Lake Superior State University

LSSU Math 400

1 Fourier Transforms and Tempered Distributions

- The Classical Fourier Transformation in L^2
- Tempered Distributions
- Fourier Transform in \mathcal{S}
- Fourier Transform in \mathcal{S}'
- Fourier Transform in L^2
- Fourier Transform in \mathcal{E}'
- The Cauchy-Riemann Operator
- Fourier Transforms and Homogeneous Distributions

Subsection 1

The Classical Fourier Transformation in L^2

The Fourier Transformation in $L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$

- Fix Ω to be \mathbb{R}^n and write L^p , \mathcal{D} , \mathcal{D}' , etc. for $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$, etc.
- For $x = \langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle, \xi = \langle \xi_1, \dots, \xi_n \rangle \in \mathbb{R}^n$, let

$$\langle x, \xi \rangle = \sum_{j=1}^n x_j \xi_j.$$

- The **Fourier transform** of a function $f \in L^1$ is a function $\mathcal{F}(f) = \hat{f}$ on \mathbb{R}^n defined by

$$\hat{f}(\xi) = \int e^{-i\langle x, \xi \rangle} f(x) dx, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

- The **Fourier transformation** is the mapping

$$\mathcal{F} : f \mapsto \hat{f}$$

defined, so far, on L^1 .

Properties of the Fourier Transform

Lemma

If $f \in L^1$, then, for all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $|\widehat{f}(\xi)| \leq \|f\|_1$.

- By definition,

$$\widehat{f}(\xi) = \int e^{-i\langle x, \xi \rangle} f(x) dx.$$

So we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\widehat{f}(\xi)| &= \left| \int e^{-i\langle x, \xi \rangle} f(x) dx \right| \\ &\leq \int |e^{-i\langle x, \xi \rangle}| |f(x)| dx \\ &= \int |f(x)| dx \\ &= \|f\|_1. \end{aligned}$$

The Riemann-Lebesgue Lemma

Lemma (Riemann-Lebesgue Lemma)

If $f \in L^1$ is an integrable function, then $|\widehat{f}(\xi)| \rightarrow 0$ as $|\xi| \rightarrow \infty$.

- We prove the lemma for $n = 1$.

Assume, first, that $f \in C_0^0(\mathbb{R})$.

Starting from the definition and substituting $y = x - \frac{\pi}{\xi}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{f}(\xi) &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) e^{-ix\xi} dx = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(y + \frac{\pi}{\xi}) e^{-iy\xi} e^{-i\pi} dy \\ &= - \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(y + \frac{\pi}{\xi}) e^{-iy\xi} dy.\end{aligned}$$

So $\widehat{f}(\xi) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) e^{-ix\xi} dx = - \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x + \frac{\pi}{\xi}) e^{-ix\xi} dx$.

Taking means, we get $|\widehat{f}(\xi)| \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f(x) - f(x + \frac{\pi}{\xi})| dx$.

By continuity, $|f(x) - f(x + \frac{\pi}{\xi})| \xrightarrow{|\xi| \rightarrow \infty} 0$.

By the Lebesgue Dominated Convergence Theorem, $|\widehat{f}(\xi)| \xrightarrow{|\xi| \rightarrow \infty} 0$.

The Riemann-Lebesgue Lemma (Cont'd)

- Now suppose that $f \in L^1$.

The key result is that C_0^0 is dense in L^1 .

So, given $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $g \in C_0^0$, such that $\|f - g\|_1 < \varepsilon$.

Thus, using the preceding slide, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 |\widehat{f}(\xi)| &= \left| \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) e^{-ix\xi} dx \right| \\
 &= \left| \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (f(x) - g(x) + g(x)) e^{-ix\xi} dx \right| \\
 &\leq \left| \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (f(x) - g(x)) e^{-ix\xi} dx \right| + \left| \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(x) e^{-ix\xi} dx \right| \\
 &\leq \varepsilon + \left| \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(x) e^{-ix\xi} dx \right| \\
 &\xrightarrow{|\xi| \rightarrow \infty} \varepsilon + 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

Since $\varepsilon > 0$ was arbitrary, $|\widehat{f}(\xi)| \rightarrow 0$ as $|\xi| \rightarrow \infty$.

Properties of the Fourier Transform

Proposition

Let $f \in L^1$ and $\xi_k \rightarrow \xi$ in \mathbb{R}^n .

- (a) $\widehat{f}(\xi_k) \rightarrow \widehat{f}(\xi)$;
- (b) \widehat{f} is bounded and continuous on \mathbb{R}^n ;
- (c) $\widehat{f}(\xi) \rightarrow 0$ as $|\xi| \rightarrow \infty$.

- (a) Suppose ξ_k is a sequence in \mathbb{R}^n which converges to ξ .

$$|\widehat{f}(\xi_k) - \widehat{f}(\xi)| \leq \int |f(x)| |e^{-i\langle x, \xi_k \rangle} - e^{-i\langle x, \xi \rangle}| dx.$$

Moreover, $|e^{-i\langle x, \xi_k \rangle} - e^{-i\langle x, \xi \rangle}| \xrightarrow{\xi_k \rightarrow \xi} 0$.

By Lebesgue's Dominated Convergence Theorem, $\widehat{f}(\xi_k) \rightarrow \widehat{f}(\xi)$.

- (b) By a previous lemma and Part (a).
- (c) By the preceding lemma.

Remark on Integrability

- In general \widehat{f} may not be integrable.

Example: Consider the function

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } x \in (-1, 1) \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} .$$

We have over \mathbb{R}

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{f}(\xi) &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-ix\xi} f(x) dx \\ &= \int_{-1}^1 e^{-ix\xi} dx \\ &= -\frac{1}{i\xi} e^{-ix\xi} \Big|_{-1}^1 \\ &= -\frac{1}{i\xi} (e^{-i\xi} - e^{i\xi}) \\ &= \frac{2\sin \xi}{\xi} . \end{aligned}$$

This function is not in L^1 .

The Inverse Fourier Transform

- When $\widehat{f} \in L^1$,

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int e^{i\langle \xi, x \rangle} \widehat{f}(\xi) d\xi$$

almost everywhere.

- The right-hand side is continuous.
- If we assume that f , besides being integrable, is also continuous, then the equality holds everywhere.

The Fourier Transform as a Map From L^1 To C_∞^0

- Suppose $f, g \in L^1$.
- We have the linearity property

$$\mathcal{F}(af + bg) = a\hat{f} + b\hat{g}, \quad a, b \in \mathbb{C}.$$

- Let C_∞^0 be the Banach space of continuous functions on \mathbb{R}^n which tend to 0 at ∞ , equipped with the norm

$$\|f\| = \|f\|_0 = \sup\{|f(x)| : x \in \mathbb{R}^n\}.$$

- The Fourier transformation \mathcal{F} satisfies the inequality

$$\|\mathcal{F}(f)\| = \|\hat{f}\|_0 \leq \|f\|_1.$$

- It is therefore an injective, continuous linear map from L^1 to C_∞^0 .

Preparing for an Extension to Distributions

- Suppose $f, g \in L^1$.
- Then \widehat{g} is bounded.
- So $f\widehat{g} \in L^1$.
- By Fubini's Theorem,

$$\begin{aligned}\int f(x)\widehat{g}(x)dx &= \int f(x)\int g(\xi)e^{-i\langle x,\xi\rangle}d\xi dx \\ &= \int g(\xi)\int f(x)e^{-i\langle x,\xi\rangle}dx d\xi.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\int f(x)\widehat{g}(x)dx = \int g(\xi)\widehat{f}(\xi)d\xi.$$

Idea of the Extension of \mathcal{F}

- We would like to extend the definition of the Fourier transformation from L^1 to \mathcal{D}' .
- Viewing f as a distribution and g as a test function, we may consider applying the formula

$$\langle \widehat{f}, g \rangle = \langle f, \widehat{g} \rangle.$$

- Here we run into some problems.
 - Suppose g in \mathcal{D} . Then \widehat{g} is analytic. So it cannot have compact support unless it is identically zero.
This indicates that \mathcal{D} is too small as a space of test functions. Equivalently, \mathcal{D}' is too large for the purpose of extension.
 - Suppose g is taken in \mathcal{E} . Then it may not be integrable. As a consequence, its Fourier transform may not exist.
So it would seem that \mathcal{E} is too big as a space of test functions.

Thus, a new space of test functions larger than \mathcal{D} and smaller than \mathcal{E} seems to be suitable for an extension of the Fourier transformation.

Constraints on a Space of Test Functions

- An appropriate test function space, call it X , should meet certain conditions in order to serve our purpose.
 - (i) X should be a subspace of C^∞ in order that the distributions in X' have derivatives of all orders;
 - (ii) The Fourier transformation should be “well behaved” on X , in the sense that it maps X onto itself;
 - (iii) Since $\partial_k \mathcal{F}(\phi) = -i\mathcal{F}(x_k \phi)$, X should be closed under multiplication by polynomials.
- With these conditions, we should also choose X as small as possible, in order that X' be as large as possible.

Subsection 2

Tempered Distributions

Rapidly Decreasing Functions

- A function $\phi \in C^\infty$ is said to be **rapidly decreasing** if

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} |x^\alpha \partial^\beta \phi(x)| < \infty,$$

for all pairs of multi-indices α and β .

- This is equivalent to the condition that

$$\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} |x^\alpha \partial^\beta \phi(x)| = 0.$$

- It is also equivalent to the condition that

$$\sup_{|\beta| \leq m} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} (1 + |x|^2)^m |\partial^\beta \phi(x)| < \infty, \text{ for all } m \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

The Space of Rapidly Decreasing Functions

- We use \mathcal{S} to denote the set of all rapidly decreasing functions.
 - \mathcal{S} is a linear space under the usual operations of addition and multiplication by scalars.
 - A function in \mathcal{S} approaches 0 as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$ faster than any power of $\frac{1}{|x|}$.
- Example:** An example of a function in \mathcal{S} is $e^{-|x|}$.

The Topology on \mathcal{S}

- For any $\phi \in \mathcal{S}$, we define the seminorms

$$p_{\alpha\beta}(\phi) = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} |x^\alpha \partial^\beta \phi(x)|,$$

with $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$.

- The countable family $\{p_{\alpha\beta}\}$ defines a Hausdorff, locally convex, topology on \mathcal{S} which is metrizable and complete.
- With this topology, \mathcal{S} is, therefore, a Fréchet space.
- A sequence (ϕ_k) converges to 0 in \mathcal{S} if and only if $x^\alpha \partial^\beta \phi_k(x) \rightarrow 0$ uniformly on \mathbb{R}^n as $k \rightarrow \infty$.
- If ϕ is in \mathcal{S} , then $x^\alpha \partial^\beta \phi$ is in \mathcal{S} , for any pair $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$.

Inclusion Relations Between $\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{S}, \mathcal{E}$

Theorem

The topological vector spaces \mathcal{D} , \mathcal{S} and \mathcal{E} are related by $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{E}$, with continuous injection. Moreover, \mathcal{D} is a dense subspace of \mathcal{S} and \mathcal{S} is a dense subspace of \mathcal{E} .

- The inclusion relations clearly hold between \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{S} and \mathcal{E} as sets.

Let (ϕ_k) be a sequence in \mathcal{D} which converges to 0. Then, there is a compact set $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$, such that (ϕ_k) lies in \mathcal{D}_K and converges to 0 in \mathcal{D}_K . Hence, $\phi_k \rightarrow 0$ in \mathcal{S} .

Let (ϕ_k) be a sequence in \mathcal{S} which converges to 0. Then, for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$, $\partial^\alpha \phi_k \rightarrow 0$ uniformly on every compact subset of \mathbb{R}^n . This means that (ϕ_k) converges to 0 in \mathcal{E} .

The first part of the theorem is now proved.

The second part follows from the simple observation that \mathcal{D} is dense in \mathcal{E} as has already been shown.

Density of \mathcal{S} in L^p

Theorem

\mathcal{S} is a dense subspace of L^p , $1 \leq p < \infty$, with the identity map from \mathcal{S} into L^p continuous.

- Let $\phi \in \mathcal{S}$. Then $(1 + |x|^2)^m \phi$ is in \mathcal{S} , for any $m > 0$. So $\phi \in L^p$.
Let $\phi_k \rightarrow 0$ in \mathcal{S} . Then

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} (1 + |x|^2)^m |\phi_k(x)|^p \rightarrow 0,$$

for every m as $k \rightarrow \infty$. When $m > \frac{1}{2}n$, $(1 + |x|^2)^{-m}$ is integrable.

We then have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\phi_k\|_p^p &= \int (1 + |x|^2)^m |\phi_k(x)|^p (1 + |x|^2)^{-m} dx \\ &\leq M \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} (1 + |x|^2)^m |\phi_k(x)|^p. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $\phi_k \rightarrow 0$ in L^p .

Since \mathcal{D} is dense in L^p , by a previous result, so is \mathcal{S} .

Convolution of Functions in \mathcal{S}

- The convolution $\phi * \psi$ of any pair of functions ϕ, ψ in \mathcal{S} is well defined in \mathbb{R}^n and is in fact an \mathcal{S} function.

To see this, note that the integral

$$(\phi * \psi)(x) = \int \phi(x-y)\psi(y)dy$$

is uniformly convergent in \mathbb{R}^n . Therefore, we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} |x^\alpha \partial^\beta (\phi * \psi)(x)| &\leq \int \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} |x^\alpha \partial^\beta \phi(x-y)| |\psi(y)| dy \\ &\leq M \int |\psi(y)| dy < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Tempered Distributions

- A previous theorem implies that the relation $\mathcal{E}' \subseteq \mathcal{S}' \subseteq \mathcal{D}'$ must hold between the topological dual spaces with the identity maps from \mathcal{E}' to \mathcal{S}' and from \mathcal{S}' to \mathcal{D}' continuous.
- Further, every locally integrable function f on \mathbb{R}^n defines a distribution in \mathcal{D}' by $\phi \mapsto \int f\phi$, $\phi \in \mathcal{D}$.
- For f to define a distribution in \mathcal{S}' by $\phi \mapsto \int f\phi$, $\phi \in \mathcal{S}$, it must, additionally, satisfy a growth condition at ∞ .
- f cannot grow faster than some power of x as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$, since, otherwise, the integral $\int f\phi$ will not be defined.

Example: The exponential function $e^{|x|}$ does not define a distribution in \mathcal{S}' .

- Loosely speaking, we can say that the elements of \mathcal{S}' are the distributions of **polynomial growth** as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$.
- Hence they are called **tempered distributions**.

Tempered Distributions Defined by Polynomials

- (i) Any polynomial function f on \mathbb{R}^n defines a tempered distribution by the formula

$$\langle f, \phi \rangle = \int f(x)\phi(x)dx, \quad \phi \in \mathcal{S}.$$

Indeed, let:

- k be the degree of the polynomial f ;
- $m > \frac{1}{2}(n+k)$.

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle f, \phi \rangle| &\leq \int |f(x)\phi(x)|dx \\ &\leq M \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} (1 + |x|^2)^m |\phi(x)|, \end{aligned}$$

with $M = \|f(x)(1 + |x|^2)^{-m}\|_1$.

Multiplication of Distributions

- (ii) The same definitions and properties of **convergence**, **differentiation**, **translation** and **reflection in the origin** which were given in \mathcal{D}' apply to the elements of \mathcal{S}' .
- Since \mathcal{S} is closed under multiplication by polynomials, we can define the **product of a polynomial P on \mathbb{R}^n with a tempered distribution** by

$$PT(\phi) = T(P\phi), \quad \phi \in \mathcal{S}.$$

- This definition clearly extends to any C^∞ function f with polynomial growth at ∞ , i.e., an $f \in C^\infty$ for which there is a positive integer m , such that $|x|^{-m}|\partial^\alpha f(x)|$ remains bounded as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$, for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$.
- Thus, the linear space of multipliers of \mathcal{D}' , which is C^∞ , is also “tempered” by a growth condition before it can serve as a linear space of multipliers of \mathcal{S}' .

\mathcal{S} is a Subspace of L^p , $1 \leq p \leq \infty$

(iii) Suppose $1 \leq p < \infty$ and $\phi \in \mathcal{S}$.

Then for any positive integer m ,

$$|\phi(x)| = (1 + |x|^2)^{-m} (1 + |x|^2)^m |\phi(x)| \leq M(1 + |x|^2)^{-m},$$

where $M = \sup\{(1 + |x|^2)^m |\phi(x)| : x \in \mathbb{R}^n\}$.

Now $|\phi|^p$ is integrable if $m > \frac{1}{2} \frac{n}{p}$.

Hence, $\mathcal{S} \subseteq L^p$.

Moreover, any $\phi \in \mathcal{S}$ is bounded on \mathbb{R}^n .

So we also have $\mathcal{S} \subseteq L^\infty$.

Thus, \mathcal{S} is a subspace of L^p , for $1 \leq p \leq \infty$.

Extension from L^p to \mathcal{S}'

(iv) We prove that $L^p \subseteq \mathcal{S}'$, for $1 \leq p \leq \infty$.

Suppose $f \in L^p$ and ϕ is any C^∞ function with compact support K .

$$\begin{aligned} |(f, \phi)| &= \left| \int_K f(x)\phi(x)dx \right| \\ &= \left| \int \phi(x)I_K(x)f(x)dx \right| \\ &\stackrel{\text{H\"older}}{\leq} M\|\phi\|_0\|f\|_p. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, f defines a continuous linear functional on C_0^∞ in the topology induced by \mathcal{S} .

But C_0^∞ is dense in \mathcal{S} .

So f can be extended to a continuous linear functional of \mathcal{S} .

- More generally, any locally integrable function f , such that $|x|^{-m}|f(x)|$ is bounded (almost everywhere) as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$, for some positive integer m , defines a distribution in \mathcal{S}' .

Non-Necessity of the Boundedness Condition

- Consider the function $f(x) = e^x \sin(e^x)$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$.

Note that for no positive integer m , does $x^{-m}|f(x)| = x^{-m}e^x|\sin(e^x)|$ remain bounded as $x \rightarrow \infty$.

Hence, $f(x)$ cannot be dominated at ∞ by a polynomial.

However, if $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
 \left| \int f(x)\phi(x)dx \right| &= \left| \int e^x \sin(e^x)\phi(x)dx \right| \\
 &= \left| \int \phi(x)d(-\cos(e^x)) \right| \\
 &= \left| \int \cos(e^x)\phi'(x)dx \right| \\
 &\leq \int |\phi'(x)|dx \\
 &= \int (1+x^2)|\phi'(x)|\frac{1}{1+x^2}dx \\
 &\leq M \sup(1+x^2)|\phi'(x)|.
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus f defines a distribution in $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R})$.

Tempered Distributions as Derivatives

(v) The inclusion $\mathcal{S}' \subseteq \mathcal{D}'_F$.

- Clearly, $\mathcal{D}_F \subseteq \mathcal{S}$;
- Moreover, convergence in \mathcal{D}_F implies convergence in \mathcal{S} .

Thus, every tempered distribution is of finite order.

By a previous theorem, we conclude that every tempered distribution is a derivative of some continuous function of polynomial growth.

Examples:

- Consider again the tempered distribution $e^x \sin(e^x)$.
It is the first derivative of the bounded function $-\cos(e^x)$.
- The powers x_+^λ, x_-^λ and $|x|^\lambda$ are examples of tempered distributions.
Each is dominated at $\pm\infty$ by $|x|^m$, if $m \geq \operatorname{Re}\lambda$.

Subsection 3

Fourier Transform in \mathcal{S}

Differentiation of Fourier Transforms

- Since $\mathcal{S} \subseteq L^1$, the Fourier transform $\widehat{\phi}$ of any $\phi \in \mathcal{S}$ exists.

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_k \widehat{\phi}(\xi) &= \partial_k \int e^{-i\langle x, \xi \rangle} \phi(x) dx \\ &= \int \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_k} e^{-i\langle x, \xi \rangle} \phi(x) dx \\ &= -i \int e^{-i\langle x, \xi \rangle} x_k \phi(x) dx \\ &= -i \mathcal{F}(x_k \phi).\end{aligned}$$

The second equality, where differentiation is carried inside the integral, is justified by the uniform convergence of the integral as a function of ξ .

Fourier Transforms of Derivatives

- We also have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{F}(\partial_k \phi)(\xi) &= \int e^{-i\langle x, \xi \rangle} \partial_k \phi(x) dx \\
 &= i\xi_k \int e^{-i\langle x, \xi \rangle} \phi(x) dx \\
 &\quad \text{(integration by-parts)} \\
 &= i\xi_k \widehat{\phi}(\xi).
 \end{aligned}$$

- Using the notation $D_k = -i\partial_k$, we have the relations

$$\mathcal{F}(D_k \phi) = \xi_k \mathcal{F}(\phi), \quad \mathcal{F}(x_k \phi) = -D_k \mathcal{F}(\phi).$$

- This process may be repeated any number of times, and with respect to any index, giving, for all $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$ and $D^\alpha = (-i)^{|\alpha|} \partial^\alpha$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{F}(D^\alpha \phi) &= \xi^\alpha \mathcal{F}(\phi), \\
 \mathcal{F}(x^\alpha \phi) &= (-1)^{|\alpha|} D^\alpha \mathcal{F}(\phi).
 \end{aligned}$$

The Fourier Transformation on \mathcal{S}

Theorem

The Fourier transformation is a continuous linear map from \mathcal{S} into \mathcal{S} .

- For any $\phi \in \mathcal{S}$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$, we have the relations

$$\mathcal{F}(D^\alpha \phi) = \xi^\alpha \mathcal{F}(\phi), \quad \mathcal{F}(x^\alpha \phi) = (-1)^{|\alpha|} D^\alpha \mathcal{F}(\phi), \quad D^\alpha = (-i)^{|\alpha|} \partial^\alpha.$$

These imply

$$\begin{aligned} \xi^\alpha D^\beta \widehat{\phi}(\xi) &= \xi^\alpha (-1)^{|\beta|} \mathcal{F}(x^\beta \phi) = \mathcal{F}(D^\alpha (-x)^\beta \phi) \\ &= \int e^{-i\langle x, \xi \rangle} D^\alpha [(-x)^\beta \phi(x)] dx; \\ |\xi^\alpha D^\beta \widehat{\phi}(\xi)| &\leq \int |D^\alpha [x^\beta \phi(x)]| dx \\ &= \int (1 + |x|^2)^{-m} (1 + |x|^2)^m |D^\alpha [x^\beta \phi(x)]| dx. \end{aligned}$$

We can choose m , so that $\int (1 + |x|^2)^{-m} dx = M < \infty$.

Then $|\xi^\alpha D^\beta \widehat{\phi}(\xi)| \leq \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} (1 + |x|^2)^m |D^\alpha [x^\beta \phi(x)]| M$.

But ϕ is in \mathcal{S} . So the right side is finite. Hence, $\widehat{\phi}$ is in \mathcal{S} .

Now \mathcal{F} is linear and $\widehat{\phi} \rightarrow 0$ as $\phi \rightarrow 0$ in \mathcal{S} . So \mathcal{F} is continuous on \mathcal{S} .

Example: A Special Fourier Transform

Proposition

We have

$$\mathcal{F}\left(e^{-\frac{1}{2}|x|^2}\right) = (2\pi)^{n/2} e^{-\frac{1}{2}|\xi|^2}.$$

- Let $\gamma(x) = e^{-\frac{1}{2}|x|^2}$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

For $n = 1$, γ satisfies the differential equation $\gamma'(x) + x\gamma(x) = 0$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$.

Taking the Fourier transform on the left, using

$$\mathcal{F}(D^\alpha \phi) = \xi^\alpha \mathcal{F}(\phi), \quad \mathcal{F}(x^\alpha \phi) = (-1)^{|\alpha|} D^\alpha \mathcal{F}(\phi), \quad D^\alpha = (-i)^{|\alpha|} \partial^\alpha,$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}(\gamma'(x) + x\gamma(x)) &= \mathcal{F}(\gamma'(x)) + \mathcal{F}(x\gamma(x)) \\ &= \xi \mathcal{F}(\gamma(x)) + (-D \mathcal{F}(\gamma(x))) \\ &= \xi \hat{\gamma}(\xi) + \hat{\gamma}'(\xi). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\xi \hat{\gamma}(\xi) + (\hat{\gamma})'(\xi) = 0$, $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$.

Example (Cont'd)

- We found $\xi \widehat{\gamma}(\xi) + (\widehat{\gamma})'(\xi) = 0$, $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$.

Its solution is given by $\widehat{\gamma}(\xi) = ce^{-\frac{1}{2}\xi^2}$.

The initial condition gives $c = \widehat{\gamma}(0) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{1}{2}x^2} dx = (2\pi)^{1/2}$.

Therefore, $\widehat{\gamma}(\xi) = (2\pi)^{1/2} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\xi^2}$.

- Suppose, next, that $n \geq 1$.

Then we can write

$$\begin{aligned}
 \widehat{\gamma}(\xi) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \prod_{k=1}^n e^{-ix_k \xi_k} e^{-\frac{1}{2}x_k^2} dx \\
 &= \prod_{k=1}^n \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-ix_k \xi_k} e^{-\frac{1}{2}x_k^2} dx_k \\
 &= \prod_{k=1}^n \widehat{\gamma}(\xi_k) \\
 &= (2\pi)^{\frac{1}{2}n} e^{-\frac{1}{2}|\xi|^2}.
 \end{aligned}$$

The Fourier Inversion Formula in \mathcal{S}

Theorem

If $\phi \in \mathcal{S}$, then

$$\phi(x) = \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\widehat{\phi})(x) = (2\pi)^{-n} \int e^{i\langle x, \xi \rangle} \widehat{\phi}(\xi) d\xi.$$

- For any $\phi, \psi \in \mathcal{S}$, we have, using Fubini's Theorem,

$$\begin{aligned} \int \widehat{\phi}(x) \psi(x) e^{i\langle \xi, x \rangle} dx &= \int [\int e^{-i\langle y, x \rangle} \phi(y) dy] \psi(x) e^{i\langle \xi, x \rangle} dx \\ &= \int \phi(y) [\int e^{-i\langle y - \xi, x \rangle} \psi(x) dx] dy \\ &= \int \phi(y) \widehat{\psi}(y - \xi) dy \\ &= \int \phi(\xi + y) \widehat{\psi}(y) dy. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, when $\psi \in \mathcal{S}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\mathcal{F}(\psi(\varepsilon x))(y) = \int e^{-i\langle y, x \rangle} \psi(\varepsilon x) dx = \int e^{-i\langle y, \frac{\xi}{\varepsilon} \rangle} \psi(\xi) \frac{1}{\varepsilon^n} d\xi = \frac{1}{\varepsilon^n} \widehat{\psi}\left(\frac{y}{\varepsilon}\right).$$

The Fourier Inversion Formula in \mathcal{S} (Cont'd)

- Using this, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int \widehat{\phi}(x) \psi(\varepsilon x) e^{i\langle \xi, x \rangle} dx &= \int \phi(\xi + y) \mathcal{F}(\psi(\varepsilon x))(y) dy \\
 &= \int \phi(\xi + y) \widehat{\psi}\left(\frac{y}{\varepsilon}\right) \frac{1}{\varepsilon^n} dy \\
 &= \int \phi(\xi + y) \widehat{\psi}\left(\frac{y}{\varepsilon}\right) d\left(\frac{y}{\varepsilon}\right) \\
 &= \int \phi(\xi + \varepsilon y) \widehat{\psi}(y) dy.
 \end{aligned}$$

Since these integrals are uniformly convergent, we can take the limit as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ inside the integral sign.

The result is $\psi(0) \int \widehat{\phi}(x) e^{i\langle \xi, x \rangle} dx = \phi(\xi) \int \widehat{\psi}(y) dy$.

If we choose $\psi(x) = e^{-\frac{1}{2}|x|^2}$, then:

- $\psi(0) = 1$.
- $\int \widehat{\psi}(y) dy = (2\pi)^{\frac{1}{2}n} \int e^{-\frac{1}{2}|y|^2} dy = (2\pi)^n$.

So we get $\int \widehat{\phi}(x) e^{i\langle \xi, x \rangle} dx = \phi(\xi) (2\pi)^n$.

A Topological Isomorphism

- We showed that \mathcal{F} is a continuous linear map from \mathcal{S} into \mathcal{S} .
- We also showed that an inversion formula exists.
- Thus, the Fourier transformation defines a **topological isomorphism** from \mathcal{S} onto \mathcal{S} .
- This means that it is a bijection from \mathcal{S} to \mathcal{S} which, in addition, preserves:
 - The algebraic properties of the linear space \mathcal{S} (linearity);
 - The topological properties of \mathcal{S} (homeomorphism).

Properties of Fourier Transforms

- Recall that $\phi\psi$ and $\phi * \psi$ are both in \mathcal{S} when $\phi, \psi \in \mathcal{S}$.

Theorem

If $\phi, \psi \in \mathcal{S}$, then:

- (a) $\int \widehat{\phi}\psi = \int \phi\widehat{\psi}$;
- (b) $\int \phi\overline{\widehat{\psi}} = (2\pi)^{-n} \int \widehat{\phi}\overline{\psi}$; **(Parseval's Relation)**
- (c) $\mathcal{F}(\phi * \psi) = \widehat{\phi}\widehat{\psi}$;
- (d) $\mathcal{F}(\phi\psi) = (2\pi)^{-n} \widehat{\phi} * \widehat{\psi}$.

- (a) We get the conclusion from the following upon setting $\xi = 0$.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int \widehat{\phi}(x)\psi(x)e^{i\langle \xi, x \rangle} dx &= \int \int \phi(y)e^{-i\langle y, x \rangle} dy \psi(x)e^{i\langle \xi, x \rangle} dx \\
 &= \int \phi(y) \int \psi(x)e^{-i\langle y - \xi, x \rangle} dx dy \\
 &= \int \phi(y)\widehat{\psi}(y - \xi) dy \\
 &= \int \phi(y + \xi)\widehat{\psi}(y) dy.
 \end{aligned}$$

Properties of Fourier Transforms (b)

(b) We have

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{\widehat{\psi}}(\xi) &= \int e^{-i\langle\xi,x\rangle}\overline{\widehat{\psi}(x)}dx \\ &= \overline{\int e^{i\langle\xi,x\rangle}\widehat{\psi}(x)dx} \\ &= \overline{(2\pi)^n\psi(x)} \\ &= (2\pi)^n\overline{\psi(x)}.\end{aligned}$$

Now in Part (a), replace ψ by $(2\pi)^{-n}\overline{\widehat{\psi}}$ to get

$$\begin{aligned}(2\pi)^{-n}\int\widehat{\phi}\overline{\widehat{\psi}} &= (2\pi)^{-n}\int\phi\widehat{\widehat{\psi}} \\ &= (2\pi)^{-n}\int\phi(2\pi)^n\overline{\psi} \\ &= \int\phi\overline{\psi}.\end{aligned}$$

Properties of Fourier Transforms (c)

(c) Using Fubini's Theorem, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{F}(\phi * \psi)(\xi) &= \int e^{-i\langle \xi, x \rangle} (\phi * \psi)(x) dx \\ &= \int e^{-i\langle \xi, x \rangle} \left[\int \phi(y) \psi(x - y) dy \right] dx \\ &= \int \phi(y) \left[\int e^{-i\langle \xi, x \rangle} \psi(x - y) dx \right] dy \\ &= \int \phi(y) \left[\int e^{-i\langle \xi, y + \eta \rangle} \psi(\eta) d\eta \right] dy \\ &= \int e^{-i\langle \xi, y \rangle} \phi(y) dy \int e^{-i\langle \xi, \eta \rangle} \psi(\eta) d\eta \\ &= \hat{\phi}(\xi) \hat{\psi}(\xi).\end{aligned}$$

Properties of Fourier Transforms (d)

(d) The inversion formula gives

$$\begin{aligned}\phi(x) &= (2\pi)^{-n} \int e^{i\langle x, \xi \rangle} \widehat{\phi}(\xi) d\xi = (2\pi)^{-n} \widehat{\widehat{\phi}}(-x); \\ \widehat{\widehat{\phi}}(x) &= (2\pi)^n \phi(-x).\end{aligned}$$

Using Part (c), we now get

$$\begin{aligned}(\widehat{\phi} * \widehat{\psi})(\xi) &= \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\widehat{\widehat{\phi\psi}})(\xi) \\ &= (2\pi)^{-n} \int e^{i\langle \xi, x \rangle} \widehat{\phi}(x) \widehat{\psi}(x) dx \\ &= (2\pi)^n \int e^{i\langle \xi, x \rangle} \phi(-x) \psi(-x) dx \\ &= (2\pi)^n \int e^{-i\langle \xi, x \rangle} \phi(x) \psi(x) dx \\ &= (2\pi)^n \mathcal{F}(\phi\psi)(\xi).\end{aligned}$$

Example

- The equation $\mathcal{F}(\phi * \psi) = \widehat{\phi}\widehat{\psi}$ can be used to construct two nonzero functions $\phi, \psi \in \mathcal{S}$, such that $\phi * \psi = 0$.

Let $\phi_0, \psi_0 \neq 0$ be in \mathcal{D} , such that $\text{supp}\phi_0 \cap \text{supp}\psi_0 = \emptyset$.

Define $\phi = \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\phi_0)$ and $\psi = \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\psi_0)$.

Since $\phi_0, \psi_0 \in \mathcal{S}$ and \mathcal{F} is bijective, ϕ and ψ are in \mathcal{S} .

We now have

$$\mathcal{F}(\phi * \psi) = \mathcal{F}(\phi)\mathcal{F}(\psi) = \phi_0\psi_0 = 0.$$

This implies that $\phi * \psi = 0$.

- On the other hand, suppose $\phi \in \mathcal{S}$ and $\phi * \phi = 0$.

Then $0 = \mathcal{F}(\phi * \phi) = [\mathcal{F}(\phi)]^2$.

So $\mathcal{F}(\phi) = 0$.

Therefore, $\phi = 0$.

Subsection 4

Fourier Transform in \mathcal{S}'

Fourier Transform of a Distribution

Definition

For any $T \in \mathcal{S}'$, the Fourier transform $\mathcal{F}(T) = \hat{T}$ is defined by

$$\hat{T}(\phi) = T(\hat{\phi}), \quad \phi \in \mathcal{S}.$$

- Note that:
 - $\hat{\phi} \in \mathcal{S}$, for every $\phi \in \mathcal{S}$;
 - The Fourier transformation is continuous on \mathcal{S} .

It now follows that $\hat{T} \in \mathcal{S}'$, for every $T \in \mathcal{S}'$.

Fourier Transform of a Distribution

- \mathcal{S} can be considered a subspace of \mathcal{S}' .

The function $\psi \in \mathcal{S}$ corresponds to $T_\psi \in \mathcal{S}'$.

In this case

$$\widehat{T}_\psi(\phi) = T_\psi(\widehat{\phi}) \stackrel{\int \widehat{\phi}\psi \equiv \int \phi\widehat{\psi}}{=} T_{\widehat{\psi}}(\phi).$$

Hence, $\widehat{T}_\psi = T_{\widehat{\psi}}$.

- $\mathcal{F} : \mathcal{S}' \rightarrow \mathcal{S}'$ is continuous in the (weak) topology of \mathcal{S}' .

This follows from the continuity of $\mathcal{F} : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$.

Suppose $T_k \rightarrow T$ in \mathcal{S}' and $\phi \in \mathcal{S}$.

Then

$$\widehat{T}_k(\phi) = T_k(\widehat{\phi}) \rightarrow T(\widehat{\phi}) = \widehat{T}(\phi).$$

Thus, if $T_k \rightarrow T$ in \mathcal{S}' , then $\widehat{T}_k \rightarrow \widehat{T}$ in \mathcal{S}' .

This means that $\mathcal{F} : \mathcal{S}' \rightarrow \mathcal{S}'$ is continuous in the topology of \mathcal{S}' .

The Case of an L^1 Function

- Suppose f is an L^1 function. Then \widehat{f} is a C_∞^0 function.

Therefore, $T_{\widehat{f}} \in \mathcal{S}'$.

Hence, for any $\phi \in \mathcal{S}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 T_{\widehat{f}}(\phi) &= \int \widehat{f}(\xi) \phi(\xi) d\xi \\
 &= \int \left[\int e^{-i\langle \xi, x \rangle} f(x) dx \right] \phi(\xi) d\xi \\
 &= \int f(x) \left[\int e^{-i\langle x, \xi \rangle} \phi(\xi) d\xi \right] dx \\
 &= \int f(x) \widehat{\phi}(x) dx \\
 &= T_f(\widehat{\phi}).
 \end{aligned}$$

So, for all $\phi \in \mathcal{S}$, $\widehat{T}_f(\phi) = T_f(\widehat{\phi}) = T_{\widehat{f}}(\phi)$ (i.e., the Fourier transform of T , as a distribution, coincides with its transform as an L^1 function).

The Fourier Transformation in \mathcal{S}'

Theorem

The Fourier transformation \mathcal{F} from \mathcal{S}' to \mathcal{S}' with the inversion formula

$$\widehat{\widehat{T}} = (2\pi)^n \check{T}, \quad T \in \mathcal{S}',$$

is a topological isomorphism.

- We define the inverse Fourier transform of $T \in \mathcal{S}'$ by

$$\mathcal{F}^{-1}(T)(\phi) = T(\mathcal{F}^{-1}(\phi)), \quad \phi \in \mathcal{S}.$$

Then \mathcal{F}^{-1} is also a continuous map from \mathcal{S}' into \mathcal{S}' .

Moreover, $\mathcal{F}^{-1}(\widehat{T})(\widehat{\phi}) = \widehat{T}(\mathcal{F}^{-1}(\widehat{\phi})) = \widehat{T}(\phi) = T(\widehat{\phi})$.

Using equation $\widehat{\widehat{\phi}}(x) = (2\pi)^n \phi(-x)$, we get, for all $\phi \in \mathcal{S}$,

$$\widehat{\widehat{T}}(\phi) = T(\widehat{\widehat{\phi}}) = (2\pi)^n T(\check{\phi}) = (2\pi)^n \check{T}(\phi).$$

Hence, $\widehat{\widehat{T}} = (2\pi)^n \check{T}$, $T \in \mathcal{S}'$.

Properties of the Fourier Transform in \mathcal{S}'

- The definition of the Fourier transform of a tempered distribution by duality carries the properties of the Fourier transformation in \mathcal{S} into \mathcal{S}' .

- Recall the equations

$$\mathcal{F}(D^\alpha \phi) = \xi^\alpha \mathcal{F}(\phi), \quad \mathcal{F}(x^\alpha \phi) = (-1)^{|\alpha|} D^\alpha \mathcal{F}(\phi), \quad D^\alpha = (-i)^{|\alpha|} \partial^\alpha.$$

- Recall, also, that, for every $T \in \mathcal{S}'$, multiplication of T by any polynomial P has been defined by

$$PT(\phi) = T(P\phi), \quad \phi \in \mathcal{S}.$$

- Hence, we have, for every $T \in \mathcal{S}'$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}(D^\alpha T) &= \xi^\alpha \mathcal{F}(T); \\ \mathcal{F}(x^\alpha T) &= (-1)^{|\alpha|} D^\alpha \mathcal{F}(T). \end{aligned}$$

Example

- For any $\phi \in \mathcal{S}$, we have

$$\langle \widehat{\delta}, \phi \rangle = \langle \delta, \widehat{\phi} \rangle = \widehat{\phi}(0) = \langle 1, \phi \rangle.$$

Hence, $\widehat{\delta} = 1$.

We know that $\widehat{\widehat{\delta}} = (2\pi)^n \check{\delta} = (2\pi)^n \delta$.

So $\widehat{1} = \widehat{\widehat{\delta}} = (2\pi)^n \delta$.

- Now let $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n$.

We know $\mathcal{F}(D^\alpha T) = \xi^\alpha \mathcal{F}(T)$, $\mathcal{F}(x^\alpha T) = (-1)^{|\alpha|} D^\alpha \mathcal{F}(T)$.

Hence the results above may be generalized to

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}(D^\alpha \delta) &= \xi^\alpha, \\ \mathcal{F}(x^\alpha) &= (-1)^{|\alpha|} (2\pi)^n D^\alpha \delta. \end{aligned}$$

Even and Odd Distributions

- A distribution $T \in \mathcal{D}'$ is said to be:
 - **even** if $\check{T} = T$, in the sense that $T(\check{\phi}) = T(\phi)$, for every $\phi \in \mathcal{D}$;
 - **odd** if $\check{T} = -T$, in the sense that $T(\check{\phi}) = -T(\phi)$, for every $\phi \in \mathcal{D}$.
- When T is an even distribution in \mathcal{S}' , for any $\phi \in \mathcal{S}$,

$$\widehat{\hat{T}}(\check{\phi}) = \widehat{\widehat{T(\check{\phi})}} = \widehat{\widehat{T(\phi)}} \stackrel{T \text{ even}}{=} T(\hat{\phi}) = \widehat{\hat{T}}(\phi).$$

Therefore $\widehat{\hat{T}}$ is even.

Conversely, if $\widehat{\hat{T}}$ is even, we can also show that T is even.

- Similarly, T is odd if and only if $\widehat{\hat{T}}$ is odd.
- Taking into account $\widehat{\hat{T}} = (2\pi)^n \check{T}$, $T \in \mathcal{S}'$, we also get

$$\mathcal{F}(T) = \begin{cases} (2\pi)^n \mathcal{F}^{-1}(T), & \text{if } T \text{ is even} \\ -(2\pi)^n \mathcal{F}^{-1}(T), & \text{if } T \text{ is odd} \end{cases} .$$

Example

- Let $T = \text{pv}(\frac{1}{x})$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$.

Claim: T is odd.

If $\phi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R})$, then

$$\begin{aligned}\langle T, \check{\phi} \rangle &= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{|x| \geq \varepsilon} \frac{1}{x} \phi(-x) dx \\ &= - \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{|x| \geq \varepsilon} \frac{1}{x} \phi(x) dx \\ &= - \langle T, \phi \rangle.\end{aligned}$$

Example (Cont'd)

Claim: For $T = \text{pv}(\frac{1}{x})$, $\widehat{T} = -2\pi iH + \pi i$.

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle xT, \phi \rangle &= \langle T, x\phi \rangle = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{|x| \geq \varepsilon} \phi(x) dx \\ &= \int \phi(x) dx = \langle 1, \phi \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

We conclude that $xT = 1$. Therefore, $\mathcal{F}(xT) = \widehat{1} = 2\pi\delta$.

But $\mathcal{F}(xT) = -D\widehat{T} = i\frac{d\widehat{T}}{d\xi}$. Hence, $\frac{d\widehat{T}}{d\xi} = -2\pi i\delta$.

This implies that $\widehat{T} = -2\pi iH + c$, for some constant c .

But \widehat{T} is odd. So this constant satisfies $-2\pi i + c = -c$. Thus,

$$\widehat{T} = -2\pi iH + \pi i.$$

Example (Cont'd)

- We saw that for $T = \text{pv}(\frac{1}{x})$, $\widehat{T} = -2\pi iH + \pi i$.

Claim: We have $\mathcal{F}^{-1}(H) = \frac{1}{2}\delta - \frac{1}{2\pi i}\text{pv}\frac{1}{x}$.

The expressions for \widehat{H} and $\mathcal{F}^{-1}(H)$ can now be derived.

$$\begin{aligned} -2\pi i\widehat{H} + 2\pi^2 i\delta &= -2\pi i\widehat{H} + \pi i\widehat{1} = \widehat{T} \\ &= 2\pi\check{T} \quad (\text{since } \widehat{T} = (2\pi)^n\check{T}) \\ &= -2\pi T. \quad (\text{since } T \text{ is odd}) \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $\widehat{H} = \pi\delta - i\text{pv}\frac{1}{x}$. On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} T &= \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\widehat{T}) \\ &= -2\pi i\mathcal{F}^{-1}(H) + \pi i\mathcal{F}^{-1}(1) \\ &= -2\pi i\mathcal{F}^{-1}(H) + \pi i\delta. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\mathcal{F}^{-1}(H) = \frac{1}{2}\delta - \frac{1}{2\pi i}\text{pv}\frac{1}{x}.$$

Subsection 5

Fourier Transform in L^2

L^2 Norm and Inner Product

- Let Ω be an open subset of \mathbb{R}^n .
- $L^2(\Omega)$ is the Banach space of (**Lebesgue**) **square integrable complex functions** on Ω under the norm

$$\|f\|_2 = \left[\int_{\Omega} |f(x)|^2 dx \right]^{1/2}.$$

- The Schwarz inequality gives, for all $f, g \in L^2(\Omega)$,

$$\left| \int_{\Omega} f(x) \overline{g(x)} dx \right| \leq \|f\|_2 \|g\|_2.$$

- Consequently, the complex number

$$(f, g) = \int_{\Omega} f(x) \overline{g(x)} dx$$

is always finite.

- It is called the **inner product** of f, g in L^2 .

Some Properties and Remarks

- We have

$$(f, f) = \int_{\Omega} |f(x)|^2 dx = \|f\|_2^2.$$

- We use L^2 to denote $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

- L_2 is not a subspace of L_1 .

So the definition $\widehat{f}(\xi) = \int e^{-i\langle x, \xi \rangle} f(x) dx$ cannot be applied to all L^2 functions.

- Suppose, on the other hand, that $f \in L^1 \cap L^2$.

Then \widehat{f} is also in L^2 .

So Parseval's relation gives

$$\|f\|_2 = (2\pi)^{-n/2} \|\widehat{f}\|_2.$$

Plancherel's Theorem

- Parseval's relation $\int \phi \overline{\psi} = (2\pi)^{-n} \int \widehat{\phi} \overline{\widehat{\psi}}$, which was proved in \mathcal{S} , will now be shown to hold in L^2 as a subspace of \mathcal{S} .

Theorem (Plancherel)

If $f \in L^2$, then $\widehat{f} \in L^2$ and

$$\|\widehat{f}\|_2 = (2\pi)^{n/2} \|f\|_2.$$

- When we set $\psi = \phi$ in Parseval's relation, we obtain

$$\|\phi\|_2 = (2\pi)^{-n/2} \|\widehat{\phi}\|_2, \quad \phi \in \mathcal{S}.$$

C_0^∞ is dense in L^2 . Also, $C_0^\infty \subseteq \mathcal{S} \subseteq L^2$. Thus, \mathcal{S} is also dense in L^2 .

Moreover, convergence in \mathcal{S} implies convergence in L^2 .

So the preceding equation may be extended to L^2 .

Parseval's Relation in L^2

- Recall that, for all $f, g \in L^2$,
 - (**Parallelogram Law**) $\|f + g\|_2^2 = (f + g, f + g) = \|f\|_2^2 + 2\operatorname{Re}(f, g) + \|g\|_2^2$;
 - (**Plancheret's Theorem**) $\|\widehat{f}\|_2 = (2\pi)^{n/2} \|f\|_2$.

Corollary (Parseval's Relation)

For all $f, g \in L^2$,

$$(\widehat{f}, \widehat{g}) = (2\pi)^n (f, g).$$

- We have (for real f, g)

$$\begin{aligned}
 2(\widehat{f}, \widehat{g}) &= \|\widehat{f} + \widehat{g}\|_2^2 - \|\widehat{f}\|_2^2 - \|\widehat{g}\|_2^2 \\
 &= (2\pi)^n \|f + g\|_2^2 - (2\pi)^n \|f\|_2^2 - (2\pi)^n \|g\|_2^2 \\
 &= (2\pi)^n (\|f + g\|_2^2 - \|f\|_2^2 - \|g\|_2^2) \\
 &= (2\pi)^n 2(f, g).
 \end{aligned}$$

Then we may reason by real and imaginary parts.

Example

- Suppose $f \in \mathcal{S}'$ satisfies the following differential equation in \mathbb{R}^n , where $c > 0$,

$$(-\Delta + c)f = g.$$

If $g \in L^2$, then we can show that $f \in L^2$.

More generally, $D_k^m f \in L^2$, for all $0 \leq m \leq 2$, $1 \leq k \leq n$.

We have

$$\mathcal{F}[(-\Delta + c)f] = \mathcal{F}[(D_1^2 + \cdots + D_n^2 + c)f] = (|\xi|^2 + c)\hat{f}.$$

By hypothesis, $(-\Delta + c)f \in L^2$. So $(|\xi|^2 + c)\hat{f} \in L^2$. Hence

$$(|\xi|^2 + 1)\hat{f} = \frac{|\xi|^2 + 1}{|\xi|^2 + c} (|\xi|^2 + c)\hat{f} \in L^2.$$

With $\xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $|\xi_k|^m \leq |\xi|^2 + 1$, $0 \leq m \leq 2$, $1 \leq k \leq n$.

This implies that $\mathcal{F}(D_k^m f) = \xi_k^m \hat{f} \in L^2$. Hence, $D_k^m f \in L^2$.

Subsection 6

Fourier Transform in \mathcal{E}'

Analytic and Entire Functions

- Let f be defined on an open connected set Ω in \mathbb{C}^n .
- f is **analytic** in Ω if, for all $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, with $z_1, \dots, z_{k-1}, z_{k+1}, \dots, z_n$ all fixed, the function

$$f_k(z_k) = f(z_1, \dots, z_{k-1}, z_k, z_{k+1}, \dots, z_n)$$

of the single variable z_k is analytic on

$$\{z_k \in \mathbb{C} : z = (z_1, \dots, z_{k-1}, z_k, z_{k+1}, \dots, z_n) \in \Omega\}.$$

- When f is analytic in \mathbb{C}^n , it is called **entire**.

Analytic Functions and Power Series

- As in the single variable theory, if f is analytic in Ω , it has a power series expansion about every point $c \in \Omega$,

$$f(z) = \sum_{\alpha} a_{\alpha} (z - c)^{\alpha},$$

valid for every point z in the open ball

$$B(c, r) = \left\{ z \in \Omega : |z - c| = \left[\sum_{k=1}^n |z_k - c_k|^2 \right]^{1/2} < r \right\},$$

for some positive number r .

- The summation index α runs through \mathbb{N}_0^n .
- The a_{α} are the Taylor coefficients

$$a_{\alpha} = \frac{1}{\alpha!} \partial_z^{\alpha} f(c).$$

The Cauchy-Riemann Equations

- Let f be defined on an open connected set Ω in \mathbb{C}^n .
- When $z_k = x_k + iy_k$, we shall use the notation

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_{z_k} &= \frac{1}{2}(\partial_{x_k} - i\partial_{y_k}); \\ \bar{\partial}_{z_k} &= \partial_{\bar{z}_k} = \frac{1}{2}(\partial_{x_k} + i\partial_{y_k}), \quad k = 1, \dots, n,\end{aligned}$$

- The Cauchy-Riemann equations take the form

$$\bar{\partial}_{z_k} f = \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_k} + i \frac{\partial f}{\partial y_k} \right] = 0, \quad k = 1, \dots, n.$$

- When Ω is an open subset of \mathbb{R}^n , we shall say that f is (real) analytic in Ω if it has a power series expansion about every point $c \in \Omega$, with z replaced by $x \in B(c, r) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$.

This is so if and only if the function f can be extended to an open neighborhood of Ω in \mathbb{C}^n , where f is (complex) analytic.

Analyticity of the Fourier Transform in \mathcal{E}'

Theorem

The Fourier transform of $T \in \mathcal{E}'$ is an analytic function in \mathbb{R}^n given by

$$\widehat{T}(\xi) = T_x(e^{-i\langle x, \xi \rangle}).$$

Furthermore, the right-hand side may be extended as an analytic function to \mathbb{C}^n , known as the **Fourier-Laplace transform** of T .

- As a function of ξ , $T_x(e^{-i\langle x, \xi \rangle})$ is in C^∞ .

Thus, it remains to show that the claimed equation holds in \mathcal{S}' .

By definition, for any $\phi \in \mathcal{D}$, we have $\widehat{T}(\phi) = T(\widehat{\phi})$.

If we consider ϕ as an element in \mathcal{E}' , then, by applying a previous theorem to distributions with compact support:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \widehat{T}(\xi), \phi \rangle &= \langle T_x, \widehat{\phi} \rangle = T_x(\int e^{-i\langle \xi, x \rangle} \phi(\xi) d\xi) \\ &= \int T_x(e^{-i\langle \xi, x \rangle}) \phi(\xi) d\xi = \langle T_x(e^{-i\langle \xi, x \rangle}), \phi \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Analyticity of the Fourier Transform in \mathcal{E}' (Cont'd)

- We got, by working with $\phi \in \mathcal{D}$,

$$\widehat{T}(\xi) = T_x(e^{-i\langle x, \xi \rangle}).$$

But \mathcal{D} is dense in \mathcal{S} . So the equation holds in \mathcal{S}' .

By replacing ξ by $\zeta = \xi + i\eta$, \widehat{T} may be extended into \mathbb{C}^n .

There, it is also a C^∞ function of ζ .

$\partial_{\zeta_k} \widehat{T}$ and $\bar{\partial}_{\zeta_k} \widehat{T}$ may be computed by differentiating $e^{-i\langle x, \zeta \rangle}$.

The exponential function is entire.

Therefore, the same holds for $\widehat{T}(\zeta)$.

Hence, \widehat{T} is analytic in \mathbb{R}^n .

Example

- Let T be a distribution in \mathbb{R} , such that $T^{(m)} = \delta$, for some $m > 0$. Applying the Fourier transformation and taking into account $\mathcal{F}(D^\alpha T) = \xi^\alpha \mathcal{F}(T)$, we get

$$(i\xi)^m \hat{T} = 1.$$

This gives

$$\hat{T} = \frac{1}{(i\xi)^m}.$$

Now \hat{T} is singular at $\xi = 0$.

By the preceding theorem, T cannot have compact support.

In other words, any fundamental solution of the operator $\frac{d^m}{dx^m}$ in \mathbb{R} cannot have compact support.

Example

- Suppose T is a distribution with compact support such that $\langle T_x, x^\alpha \rangle = 0$, for every $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$.

We prove that $T = 0$, and thereby conclude that the set of all polynomials in \mathbb{R}^n with constant coefficients is dense in C^∞ .

- (i) By hypothesis, $T \in \mathcal{E}'$.

By the theorem, $\widehat{T} \in \mathcal{E}'$ can be extended as an analytic function $f(\zeta)$ in \mathbb{C}^n , such that $f(\zeta) = T_x(e^{-i\langle x, \zeta \rangle})$. For any $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$,

$$\partial^\alpha f(\zeta) = T_x(\partial_\zeta^\alpha e^{-i\langle x, \zeta \rangle}) = (-i)^{|\alpha|} T_x(x^\alpha e^{-i\langle x, \zeta \rangle}).$$

At $\zeta = 0$, for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$,

$$\partial^\alpha f(0) = (-i)^{|\alpha|} T_x(x^\alpha) = 0.$$

But f is an entire function in \mathbb{C}^n . So it is represented by the power series $f(\zeta) = \sum_\alpha \frac{1}{\alpha!} \partial^\alpha f(0) \zeta^\alpha = 0$, for any $\zeta \in \mathbb{C}^n$.

Thus f , and therefore \widehat{T} vanishes identically.

Since the Fourier transformation is injective in \mathcal{S}' , $T = 0$.

Example (Cont'd)

- (ii) Let \mathcal{P} be the set of all polynomials in \mathbb{R}^n with constant coefficients. Assume that $\overline{\mathcal{P}}$ is a proper subset of C^∞ .

By the Hahn-Banach theorem, there exists a nonzero continuous linear functional T on C^∞ , such that

$$\langle T, P \rangle = 0, \quad \text{for every } P \in \overline{\mathcal{P}}.$$

This implies, in particular, that T is a nonzero distribution with compact support which satisfies $\langle T, x^\alpha \rangle = 0$, for every $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n$.

However, this contradicts Part (i).

Convolution of \mathcal{S}' by \mathcal{E}'

Theorem

If $T_1 \in \mathcal{S}'$ and $T_2 \in \mathcal{E}'$, then $T_1 * T_2 \in \mathcal{S}'$ and

$$\mathcal{F}(T_1 * T_2) = \mathcal{F}(T_2)\mathcal{F}(T_1),$$

the right-hand side being a well-defined distribution because $\mathcal{F}(T_2)$ is C^∞ .

- Let $\phi \in \mathcal{D}$. By properties of convolution and preceding results:
 - $(T_1 * T_2)(\phi) = (T_1 * T_2 * \check{\phi})(0)$;
 - $(T_2 * \check{\phi})(x) = T_2(\tau_x \phi)$ is a C_0^∞ function.

Moreover, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (T_1 * T_2 * \check{\phi})(0) &= \langle T_{1_y}, (T_2 * \check{\phi})(-y) \rangle \\ &= \langle T_{1_y}, T_2(\tau_{-y} \phi) \rangle \\ &= \langle T_{1_y}, \check{T}_2(\tau_y \check{\phi}) \rangle \\ &= \langle T_{1_y}, (\check{T}_2 * \phi)(y) \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $(T_1 * T_2)(\phi) = T_1(\check{T}_2 * \phi)$.

Convolution of \mathcal{S}' by \mathcal{E}' (Cont'd)

- We found $(T_1 * T_2)(\phi) = T_1(\check{T}_2 * \phi)$.

Let ϕ be in \mathcal{S} .

Then

$$(\check{T}_2 * \phi)(x) = \check{T}_2(\tau_x \check{\phi}) = T_2(\tau_{-x} \phi).$$

So $\check{T}_2 * \phi$ is also in \mathcal{S} .

This holds, since, if T_2 is of order m , then

$$\sup_{\substack{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \\ |\alpha + \beta| \leq k}} |x^\alpha \partial^\beta (\check{T}_2 * \phi)(x)| \leq M_k \sup_{\substack{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \\ |\alpha + \beta| \leq k + m}} |x^\alpha \partial^\beta \phi(x)|.$$

Thus, $T_1 * T_2$ is a continuous linear functional on \mathcal{S} .

Convolution of \mathcal{S}' by \mathcal{E}' (Cont'd)

- We now compute its Fourier transform.

Let $\phi \in \mathcal{S}$ so that $\widehat{\phi}$ is also in \mathcal{S} .

By a previous equation

$$\begin{aligned}(T_1 * T_2)(\widehat{\phi}) &= T_1(\check{T}_2 * \widehat{\phi}); \\ (\check{T}_2 * \widehat{\phi})(x) &= T_2(\tau_{-x}\widehat{\phi}) = T_{2_y}(\tau_{-x}\widehat{\phi}(y)) = T_{2_y}(\widehat{\phi}(x+y)).\end{aligned}$$

If $\phi \in \mathcal{D}$, then we can write

$$\begin{aligned}T_{2_y}(\widehat{\phi}(x+y)) &= T_{2_y}(\int e^{-i\langle x+y, \xi \rangle} \phi(\xi) d\xi) \\ &= \int T_{2_y}(e^{-i\langle y, \xi \rangle}) \phi(\xi) e^{-i\langle x, \xi \rangle} d\xi \\ &= \int \widehat{T}_2(\xi) \phi(\xi) e^{-i\langle x, \xi \rangle} d\xi. \\ &\quad (\widehat{T}(\xi) = T_x(e^{-i\langle x, \xi \rangle}))\end{aligned}$$

Convolution of \mathcal{S}' by \mathcal{E}' (Conclusion)

- Similarly, for $\phi \in \mathcal{D}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 (T_1 * T_2)(\widehat{\phi}) &= T_{1_x}(T_{2_y}(\widehat{\phi}(x+y))) \\
 &= T_{1_x}\left(\int \widehat{T}_2(\xi)\phi(\xi)e^{-i\langle x,\xi\rangle}d\xi\right) \\
 &= \int \widehat{T}_1(\xi)\widehat{T}_2(\xi)\phi(\xi)d\xi \\
 &= \widehat{T}_1\widehat{T}_2(\phi).
 \end{aligned}$$

Since \mathcal{D} is dense in \mathcal{S} , this equation holds for all $\phi \in \mathcal{S}$.

But, for all $\phi \in \mathcal{S}$,

$$(T_1 * T_2)(\widehat{\phi}) = \widehat{T_1 * T_2}(\phi).$$

$$\text{So } \widehat{T_1 * T_2} = \widehat{T}_1\widehat{T}_2.$$

Example (Part (i))

(i) Let $T_a = \frac{1}{2}(\delta_a + \delta_{-a})$, for some real number a .

To find the Fourier transform of T_a , we shall first compute $\widehat{\delta}_a$.

We have, for all $\phi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R})$,

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \widehat{\delta}_a, \phi \rangle &= \langle \delta_a, \widehat{\phi} \rangle \\ &= \widehat{\phi}(a) \\ &= \int e^{-ixa} \phi(x) dx \\ &= \langle e^{-iax}, \phi \rangle.\end{aligned}$$

Hence, $\widehat{\delta}_a(\xi) = e^{-ia\xi}$.

It follows that

$$\widehat{T}_a(\xi) = \frac{1}{2}(e^{-ia\xi} + e^{ia\xi}) = \cos a\xi.$$

Example (Part (ii))

(ii) We verify that

$$\mathcal{F}(T_a * T_b) = \mathcal{F}(T_a)\mathcal{F}(T_b).$$

We use

$$\begin{aligned}(\delta_a * \delta_b)(x) &= \int \delta_a(y)\delta_b(x-y)dy \\ &= \delta_b(x-a) \\ &= \tau_a\delta_b(x) \\ &= \delta_{a+b}(x).\end{aligned}$$

Now we get

$$\begin{aligned}T_a * T_b &= \left(\frac{1}{2}(\delta_a + \delta_{-a})\right) * \left(\frac{1}{2}(\delta_a + \delta_{-a})\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{4}(\delta_{a+b} + \delta_{-(a+b)} + \delta_{a-b} + \delta_{-(a-b)}).\end{aligned}$$

So

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{F}(T_a * T_b) &= \frac{1}{4}[2\cos(a+b)\xi + 2\cos(a-b)\xi] \\ &= \cos a\xi \cos b\xi \\ &= \mathcal{F}(T_a)\mathcal{F}(T_b).\end{aligned}$$

Example (Part (iii))

(iii) Now compute the Fourier transforms of $\sin x$ and $\cos x$.

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{F}(\cos x) &= \mathcal{F}(\cos(1x)) \\ &= \widehat{\widehat{T}}_1 \\ &= 2\pi \check{T}_1 \\ &= \pi(\check{\delta}_1 + \check{\delta}_{-1}) \\ &= \pi(\delta_{-1} + \delta_1);\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{F}(\sin x) &= \mathcal{F}(-iD \cos x) \\ &= -i\xi \mathcal{F}(\cos x) \\ &= -i\pi\xi(\delta_1 + \delta_{-1}) \\ &= i\pi(\delta_{-1} - \delta_1).\end{aligned}$$

The Paley-Wiener-Schwartz Theorem

The Paley-Wiener-Schwartz Theorem

- (i) If $T \in \mathcal{E}'$ and $\text{supp } T \subseteq \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x| \leq r\} = \overline{B}(0, r)$, then there is a constant M and a nonnegative integer N , such that

$$|\widehat{T}(\zeta)| \leq M(1 + |\zeta|)^N e^{r|\text{Im}\zeta|}, \quad \zeta \in \mathbb{C}^n.$$

- (ii) Conversely, every entire function in \mathbb{C}^n satisfying the preceding inequality is the Fourier-Laplace transform of a distribution with support contained in $\overline{B}(0, r)$.
- (iii) If $T \in C_0^\infty$ and $\text{supp } T \subseteq \overline{B}(0, r)$, then, for every integer $m \geq 0$, there is a constant M_m , such that

$$|\widehat{T}(\zeta)| \leq M_m(1 + |\zeta|)^{-m} e^{r|\text{Im}\zeta|}, \quad \zeta \in \mathbb{C}^n.$$

- (iv) Conversely, every entire function in \mathbb{C}^n satisfying the equation above, for every $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ is the Fourier-Laplace transform of a C_0^∞ function with support contained in $\overline{B}(0, r)$.

Proof of Paley-Wiener-Schwartz Theorem Part (i)

(i) Let $K = \text{supp } T \subseteq \overline{B}(0, r)$.

Let ψ be a C_0^∞ function which equals 1 on a neighborhood of K .

Then we have $T(\phi) = T(\psi\phi)$, for all $\phi \in \mathcal{E}$.

Now $\psi\phi$ is in \mathcal{D} . By a previous theorem, T is of finite order on \mathcal{D} .

So there is an integer $N \geq 0$ and a constant M_1 , such that

$$|T(\phi)| = |T(\psi\phi)| \leq M_1 |\psi\phi|_N.$$

Suppose $\text{supp } \psi = K_0 \supseteq K^\circ \supseteq K$.

By Leibniz's formula, there exists $M_2 > 0$, such that

$$|\psi\phi|_N \leq M_2 \sup\{|\partial^\alpha \phi(x)| : x \in K_0, |\alpha| \leq N\}.$$

Since the inequality is true, for every K_0 , such that $K_0^\circ \supseteq K$, it holds for K .

Proof of Paley-Wiener-Schwartz Theorem (Part (i) Cont'd)

- Setting $\phi(x) = e^{-i\langle x, \zeta \rangle}$ and $\zeta = \xi + i\eta$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sup\{|\partial^\alpha \phi(x)| : x \in K, |\alpha| \leq N\} &= \sup\{|\partial^\alpha e^{-i\langle x, \xi + i\eta \rangle}| : x \in K, |\alpha| \leq N\} \\ &\leq \sup\{|\zeta|^{|\alpha|} e^{\langle x, \eta \rangle} : |x| \leq r, |\alpha| \leq N\} \\ &\leq (1 + |\zeta|)^N e^{r|\operatorname{Im}\zeta|}. \end{aligned}$$

Applying the preceding three inequalities, we get

$$\begin{aligned} |\widehat{T}(\zeta)| &= |T_x(e^{-i\langle x, \zeta \rangle})| \\ &\leq M_1 |\psi e^{-i\langle x, \zeta \rangle}|_N \\ &\leq M_2 M_1 \sup\{|\partial^\alpha e^{-i\langle x, \zeta \rangle}| : x \in K, |\alpha| \leq N\} \\ &\leq M_2 M_1 (1 + |\zeta|)^N e^{r|\operatorname{Im}\zeta|}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof of Paley-Wiener-Schwartz Theorem Part (ii)

(ii) Suppose T is a C_0^∞ function.

Then we can use $\mathcal{F}(D^\alpha \phi) = \xi^\alpha \mathcal{F}(\phi)$, to write, for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$,

$$\zeta^\alpha \widehat{T}(\zeta) = \int e^{-i\langle x, \zeta \rangle} D^\alpha T(x) dx.$$

Assume, moreover, that $\text{supp } T$ in $\overline{B}(0, r)$.

Then the expression above yields

$$|\zeta^\alpha \widehat{T}(\zeta)| \leq M e^{r|\eta|},$$

for some constant M .

From this, Part (ii) follows.

Proof of Paley-Wiener-Schwartz Theorem Part (iii)

(iii) Suppose that, for all m , there exists M_m , such that

$$|\widehat{T}(\zeta)| \leq M_m(1 + |\zeta|)^{-m} e^{r|\operatorname{Im}\zeta|}, \quad \zeta \in \mathbb{C}^n.$$

Then the integral

$$(2\pi)^{-n} \int \widehat{T}(\xi) e^{i\langle x, \xi \rangle} d\xi$$

is absolutely convergent on \mathbb{R}^n .

It clearly defines the inverse Fourier transform $T(x)$ of $\widehat{T}(\xi)$.

Now, for $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$,

$$\partial^\alpha T(x) = (-i)^{|\alpha|} (2\pi)^{-n} \int \widehat{T}(\xi) \xi^\alpha e^{i\langle x, \xi \rangle} d\xi$$

is also absolutely convergent.

We conclude that T is in C^∞ .

Proof of Paley-Wiener-Schwartz Theorem (Part (iii) Cont'd)

- We show, next, that T has compact support.

The preceding integrand extends to an entire function on \mathbb{C}^n .

So we can use Cauchy's Theorem with each variable ζ_1, \dots, ζ_n to shift the integration from \mathbb{R}^n into \mathbb{C}^n .

For any fixed $\eta \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we get

$$T(x) = (2\pi)^{-n} \int \widehat{T}(\xi + i\eta) e^{i\langle x, \xi + i\eta \rangle} d\xi.$$

Using the hypothesis, with $m = n + 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} |T(x)| &\leq (2\pi)^{-n} M_{n+1} e^{-\langle x, \eta \rangle + r|\eta|} \int (1 + |\xi|)^{-n-1} d\xi \\ &\leq M e^{r|\eta| - \langle x, \eta \rangle}. \end{aligned}$$

Taking $\eta = tx$ we get

$$|T(x)| \leq M e^{-t|x|(r-|x|)}.$$

Letting $t \rightarrow \infty$, we get $T(x) = 0$, for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, with $|x| > r$.

Therefore, the support of T must lie in $\overline{B}(0, r)$.

Proof of Paley-Wiener-Schwartz Theorem Part (iv)

(iv) Let $\widehat{T}(\zeta)$ be an entire function which satisfies

$$|\widehat{T}(\zeta)| \leq M(1 + |\zeta|)^N e^{r|\operatorname{Im}\zeta|}.$$

Then $\widehat{T}(\xi)$ has polynomial growth at ∞ . So it lies in \mathcal{S}' .

Its inverse Fourier transform T must also be in \mathcal{S}' .

We show, next, that $\operatorname{supp} T$ is compact.

We regularize T using the C^∞ functions β_λ , $\lambda > 0$, satisfying $\operatorname{supp} \beta_\lambda \subseteq \overline{B}(0, \lambda)$.

Now $T_\lambda = T * \beta_\lambda$ is in C^∞ .

Its Fourier transform, according to a previous theorem, is $\widehat{T}_\lambda = \widehat{\beta}_\lambda \widehat{T}$.

For each $\lambda > 0$, $\widehat{T}_\lambda(\xi)$ extends to an analytic function on \mathbb{C}^n .

Proof of Paley-Wiener-Schwartz Theorem (Part (iv) Cont'd)

- \widehat{T} satisfies, for some M and $N \geq 0$,

$$|\widehat{T}(\zeta)| \leq M(1 + |\zeta|)^N e^{r|\operatorname{Im}\zeta|}, \quad \zeta \in \mathbb{C}^n.$$

β_λ satisfies, for all $m \geq 0$ and some M_m ,

$$|\widehat{\beta}_\lambda(\zeta)| \leq M_m(1 + |\zeta|)^{-m} e^{\lambda|\operatorname{Im}\zeta|}, \quad \zeta \in \mathbb{C}^n.$$

So \widehat{T}_λ must satisfy, for $m = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ and $\zeta \in \mathbb{C}^n$,

$$|\widehat{T}_\lambda(\zeta)| \leq MM_m(1 + |\zeta|)^{N-m} e^{(r+\lambda)|\operatorname{Im}\zeta|}.$$

Choosing m greater than N , we see that \widehat{T}_λ satisfies the hypothesis of Part (iii) with r replaced by $r + \lambda$.

So, by Part (iii), $\operatorname{supp} T_\lambda \subseteq \overline{B}(0, r + \lambda)$.

Since $T_\lambda \rightarrow T$ as $\lambda \rightarrow 0$,

$$\operatorname{supp} T \subseteq \bigcap \{\overline{B}(0, r + \lambda) : \lambda > 0\} = \overline{B}(0, r).$$

Subsection 7

The Cauchy-Riemann Operator

Fourier Transformation with Respect to Some Variables

- Suppose $T \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_2})$, with $n_1 + n_2 = n$.
- The **Fourier transform** $\mathcal{F}_1(T)$ of T with respect to $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1}$ is defined, for all $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_2})$, by

$$\langle \mathcal{F}_1(T), \phi \rangle = \langle T, \mathcal{F}_1(\phi) \rangle.$$

- $\mathcal{F}_1(\phi)$ is well defined by the integral formula

$$\mathcal{F}_1(\phi(\cdot, y))(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n_1}} e^{-i\langle x, \xi \rangle} \phi(x, y) dx, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1}, y \in \mathbb{R}^{n_2}.$$

- $\mathcal{F}_1(\phi(\cdot, y))(\xi)$ is also denoted by $\widehat{\phi}(\xi, y)$.
- It lies in $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_2})$.

Partial Differentiation

- Given $T \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_2})$, $\mathcal{F}_1(T) \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_2})$.

Claim: If ∂_y^α is a partial differential operator in $y \in \mathbb{R}^{n_2}$, then

$$\mathcal{F}_1(\partial_y^\alpha T) = \partial_y^\alpha \mathcal{F}_1(T).$$

We have, for all $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_2})$,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathcal{F}_1(\partial_y^\alpha T), \phi \rangle &= \langle \partial_y^\alpha T, \mathcal{F}_1(\phi) \rangle \\ &= (-1)^{|\alpha|} \langle T, \partial_y^\alpha \mathcal{F}_1(\phi) \rangle \\ &= (-1)^{|\alpha|} \langle T, \mathcal{F}_1(\partial_y^\alpha \phi) \rangle \\ &= (-1)^{|\alpha|} \langle \mathcal{F}_1(T), \partial_y^\alpha \phi \rangle \\ &= \langle \partial_y^\alpha \mathcal{F}_1(T), \phi \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

We note that the commutation of \mathcal{F}_1 with ∂_y^α on $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_2})$ is based on the linearity and continuity of \mathcal{F}_1 .

Example

- Consider the differential operator in \mathbb{R} of order m with constant coefficients

$$L = \sum_{k=0}^m c_k D^k.$$

If $u \in \mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R})$ satisfies $Lu = 0$, then, upon transformation,

$$0 = \mathcal{F}(Lu) = \sum_{k=0}^m c_k \xi^k \hat{u}.$$

Hence, $\hat{u}(\xi) = 0$ except possibly at the zeros of the polynomial

$$c_0 + c_1 \xi + \cdots + c_m \xi^m.$$

But u has compact support.

So \hat{u} is continuous. Thus, \hat{u} must vanish in all \mathbb{R} .

It follows that the ordinary differential equation $Lu = 0$ has only the trivial solution in \mathcal{E}' .

Example

- Consider the differential operator in \mathbb{R}^n of order m with constant coefficients

$$L = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} c_\alpha D^\alpha.$$

Let $u \in \mathcal{S}'$ be a solution of $Lu = 0$.

The application of the Fourier transformation gives

$$0 = \mathcal{F}(\sum c_\alpha D^\alpha u) = (\sum c_\alpha \xi^\alpha) \hat{u} = P(\xi) \hat{u},$$

where $P(\xi)$ is the polynomial $\sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} c_\alpha \xi^\alpha$.

Suppose $P(\xi) = 0$ only when $\xi = 0$. Then $\text{supp } \hat{u} \subseteq \{0\}$.

By a previous theorem, $\hat{u} = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq k} a_\alpha \partial^\alpha \delta$, for some k .

By taking the inverse Fourier transform, $u = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq k} b_\alpha x^\alpha$.

Thus, the only solution of $Lu = 0$ in \mathcal{S}' for this type of operator is a polynomial. In other words, the fundamental solution of L in \mathcal{S}' is unique up to an additive polynomial.

The Cauchy-Riemann Operator

- Consider the **Cauchy-Riemann operator** in \mathbb{R}^2 ,

$$\bar{\partial} = \frac{1}{2}(\partial_1 + i\partial_2).$$

The polynomial

$$P(i\xi) = \frac{1}{2}i(\xi_1 + i\xi_2)$$

vanishes only at $\xi = 0$.

So this operator is an example of the preceding slide.

Its fundamental solution in $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^2)$ is unique up to an additive polynomial.

But every entire function f satisfies $\bar{\partial}f = 0$ in \mathbb{R}^2 .

Hence, the fundamental solution of $\bar{\partial}$ in $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^2)$ is unique up to an additive entire function.

Example

- We show that $\frac{1}{\pi z} = \frac{1}{\pi(x+iy)}$ is a fundamental solution of the Cauchy-Riemann operator in the plane.

Since $\frac{1}{|z|} = \frac{1}{r} \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^2)$, $\frac{1}{z}$ defines a distribution in \mathbb{R}^2 .

For any $\phi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^2)$,

$$\left\langle \frac{-1}{\bar{z}}, \phi \right\rangle = - \left\langle \frac{1}{z}, \bar{\partial} \phi \right\rangle = - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{1}{x+iy} \left(\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x} + i \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial y} \right) dx dy.$$

We change to polar coordinates. Let $\tilde{\phi}(r, \theta) = \phi(x, y)$.

Recall that $\frac{\partial}{\partial x} = \cos \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial r} - \frac{\sin \theta}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}$, $\frac{\partial}{\partial y} = \sin \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial r} + \frac{\cos \theta}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}$.

Therefore, we obtain

$$\left\langle \frac{-1}{\bar{z}}, \phi \right\rangle = - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{re^{i\theta}} \left[e^{i\theta} \frac{\partial \tilde{\phi}}{\partial r} + \frac{i}{r} e^{i\theta} \frac{\partial \tilde{\phi}}{\partial \theta} \right] r dr d\theta.$$

Example (Cont'd)

- With $\tilde{\phi}(r, \theta) = \phi(x, y)$,

$$\left\langle \bar{\partial} \frac{1}{z}, \phi \right\rangle = -\frac{1}{2} \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{re^{i\theta}} \left[e^{i\theta} \frac{\partial \tilde{\phi}}{\partial r} + \frac{i}{r} e^{i\theta} \frac{\partial \tilde{\phi}}{\partial \theta} \right] r dr d\theta.$$

By Fubini's Theorem,

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle \bar{\partial} \frac{1}{z}, \phi \right\rangle &= -\frac{1}{2} \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^\infty \frac{\partial \tilde{\phi}}{\partial r} dr d\theta - \frac{1}{2} i \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{r} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{\partial \tilde{\phi}}{\partial \theta} d\theta dr \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} [-2\pi \tilde{\phi}(0)] - 0, \quad \text{since } \tilde{\phi}(r, 2\pi) = \tilde{\phi}(r, 0) \\ &= \pi \phi(0). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $\bar{\partial} \left(\frac{1}{\pi z} \right) = \delta$.

It follows that any fundamental solution E of $\bar{\partial}$ in $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^2)$ is of the form $E(z) = \frac{1}{\pi z} + h(z)$, where h is an entire function in \mathbb{C} .

Subsection 8

Fourier Transforms and Homogeneous Distributions

Dualizing a Linear Mapping

- Let Λ be a linear mapping from \mathbb{R}^n to \mathbb{R}^n .
- Let $F(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be the linear space of complex functions on \mathbb{R}^n .
- We define the map $\Lambda^* : F(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow F(\mathbb{R}^n)$ by

$$\Lambda^* f(x) = f(\Lambda x), \quad f \in F(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

- Λ^* is also linear. For all $f, g \in F(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $a, b \in \mathbb{C}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda^*(af + bg)(x) &= (af + bg)(\Lambda x) \\ &= a\Lambda^* f(x) + b\Lambda^* g(x) \\ &= (a\Lambda^* f + b\Lambda^* g)(x). \end{aligned}$$

- Λ may be represented by a real $n \times n$ matrix, determined by the basis that we choose for \mathbb{R}^n .
- It is nonsingular if the null space of Λ is $\{0\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$. In this case:
 - The determinant $\det \Lambda$ is nonzero.
 - The inverse map Λ^{-1} exists and is a linear map from \mathbb{R}^n to \mathbb{R}^n .

Continuity of Λ^*

Claim: If Λ is nonsingular, then Λ^* maps \mathcal{S} continuously onto \mathcal{S} .

Let ϕ, ψ be functions in \mathcal{S} . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \Lambda^* \psi, \phi \rangle &= \int \psi(\Lambda x) \phi(x) dx \\ &= \int \psi(y) \phi(\Lambda^{-1} y) \frac{1}{|\det \Lambda|} dy \\ &= \int \psi(y) \frac{1}{|\det \Lambda|} \Lambda^{-1*} \phi(y) dy. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that

$$\langle \Lambda^* \psi, \phi \rangle = \left\langle \psi, \frac{1}{|\det \Lambda|} \Lambda^{-1*} \phi \right\rangle.$$

Now note that $\frac{1}{|\det \Lambda|} \Lambda^{-1*} \phi$ is in \mathcal{S} , if ϕ is in \mathcal{S} .

So the function ψ in the preceding equation may be extended by continuity from \mathcal{S} to \mathcal{S}' .

Inverse of Λ^*

- We have, for every $f \in F(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &= f(\Lambda^{-1}\Lambda x) \\ &= \Lambda^* f(\Lambda^{-1}x) \\ &= \Lambda^* \Lambda^{-1*}(x). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\Lambda^{-1*} = \Lambda^{*-1}.$$

The Fourier Transform of the Dual

- For any $\phi \in \mathcal{S}$, we have (denoting by Λ^T the transpose of Λ)

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{F}(\Lambda^* \phi)(\xi) &= \int e^{-i\langle \xi, x \rangle} \phi(\Lambda x) dx \\
 &= \int e^{-i\langle \xi, \Lambda^{-1} y \rangle} \phi(y) \frac{1}{|\det \Lambda|} dy \\
 &= \int e^{-i\langle \Lambda^{-1T} \xi, y \rangle} \phi(y) \frac{1}{|\det \Lambda|} dy \\
 &= \frac{1}{|\det \Lambda|} \widehat{\phi}(\Lambda^{-1T} \xi).
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\widehat{\Lambda^* \phi} = \frac{1}{|\det \Lambda|} (\Lambda^{-1T})^* \widehat{\phi}, \quad \phi \in \mathcal{S}.$$

Now $\mathcal{F} \Lambda^*$ and $\frac{1}{|\det \Lambda|} (\Lambda^{-1T})^* \mathcal{F}$ are equal and continuous on \mathcal{S} .

So they may be extended by continuity to \mathcal{S}' to obtain

$$\widehat{\Lambda^* T} = \frac{1}{|\det \Lambda|} (\Lambda^{-1T})^* \widehat{T}, \quad T \in \mathcal{S}'.$$

Reflection Operator

- Consider the **reflection operator**

$$\Lambda x = -x, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

It is linear and continuous, for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

If $T \in \mathcal{D}'$, then $\Lambda^* T$ is the distribution defined by

$$\langle \Lambda^* T, \phi \rangle = \left\langle T, \frac{1}{|\det \Lambda|} \Lambda^{-1*} \phi \right\rangle, \quad \phi \in \mathcal{D}.$$

In this case we have:

- $\det \Lambda = (-1)^n$;
- $\Lambda^{-1} = \Lambda$.

So we get

$$\langle \Lambda^* T, \phi \rangle = \left\langle T, \frac{1}{|(-1)^n|} \Lambda^* \phi \right\rangle = \langle T, \check{\phi} \rangle = \langle \check{T}, \phi \rangle.$$

Scaling Operators

- A more general example is the transformation

$$\Lambda_t x = tx, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

It is linear and continuous, for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$, but singular when $t = 0$.

If $T \in \mathcal{D}'$ and $t \neq 0$, then $\Lambda_t^* T$ is the distribution defined by

$$\langle \Lambda_t^* T, \phi \rangle = \left\langle T, \frac{1}{|\det \Lambda_t|} \Lambda_t^{-1*} \phi \right\rangle, \quad \phi \in \mathcal{D}.$$

In this case we have:

- $\det \Lambda_t = t^n$;
- $\Lambda^{-1} = \Lambda_{1/t}$.

So we get

$$\langle \Lambda_t^* T, \phi \rangle = \left\langle T, \frac{1}{t^n} \Lambda_{1/t}^* \phi \right\rangle.$$

Homogeneous Functions and Distributions

- Let d be a complex number.
- A function f on \mathbb{R}^n is **homogeneous of degree d** if

$$f(tx) = t^d f(x).$$

- A distribution T is **homogeneous of degree d** if

$$\Lambda_t^* T = t^d T, \quad \text{for any } t > 0.$$

Homogeneous Functions vs. Homogeneous Distributions

Claim: The two definitions coincide when the function is locally integrable in \mathbb{R}^n , in the sense that $\Lambda_t^* f = t^d f$ if and only if $f(tx) = t^d f(x)$ a.e.

We have, for all $\phi \in \mathcal{D}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \Lambda_t^* f, \phi \rangle &= \langle f, \frac{1}{t^n} \Lambda_{1/t}^* \phi \rangle \\ &= \int f(x) \frac{1}{t^n} \phi\left(\frac{x}{t}\right) dx \\ &= \int f(ty) \phi(y) dy. \end{aligned}$$

Suppose, first, $f(tx) = t^d f(x)$ a.e..

Then $\langle \Lambda_t^* f, \phi \rangle = \int t^d f(y) \phi(y) dy = \langle t^d f, \phi \rangle$.

So $\Lambda_t^* f = t^d f$.

Conversely, assume $\Lambda_t^* f = t^d f$.

Then, for all $\phi \in \mathcal{D}$, $\int f(ty) \phi(y) dy = \int t^d f(y) \phi(y) dy$.

Hence, by a previous result, $f(ty) = t^d f(y)$ a.e..

Example

- (i) Let $\{T_1, \dots, T_m\}$ be a set of nonzero distributions in \mathbb{R}^n , such that T_k , $1 \leq k \leq m$, is homogeneous of real degree d_k and $d_k \neq d_j$, if $k \neq j$.

Claim: The set $\{T_1, \dots, T_m\}$ is linearly independent over \mathbb{C} .

Let $a_1 T_1 + \dots + a_m T_m = 0$. Without loss of generality, assume that $d_1 > d_2 > \dots > d_m$. For any $\phi \in \mathcal{D}$, we have

$$0 = \left\langle \Lambda_t^* \sum_{k=1}^m a_k T_k, \phi \right\rangle = \sum_{k=1}^m a_k \langle \Lambda_t^* T_k, \phi \rangle = \sum_{k=1}^m a_k t^{d_k} \langle T_k, \phi \rangle.$$

If the coefficients a_k do not all vanish, let $i \geq 1$ be the smallest integer for which $a_i \neq 0$.

- If $i = m$, then $\langle T_m, \phi \rangle = 0$. So $T_m = 0$, a contradiction.
- If $1 \leq i < m$, then $a_i \langle T_i, \phi \rangle + \sum_{k=i}^m a_k t^{d_k - d_i} \langle T_k, \phi \rangle = 0$, for all $t > 0$ and $\phi \in \mathcal{D}$. Letting $t \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain $a_i \langle T_i, \phi \rangle = 0$. But $a_i \neq 0$. Hence, $T_i = 0$, again a contradiction.

Example

(ii) We show that $\partial^\alpha \delta$ is homogeneous of degree $-n - |\alpha|$.

We have, for all $\phi \in \mathcal{D}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \langle \Lambda_t^* \partial^\alpha \delta, \phi \rangle &= \langle \partial^\alpha \delta, \frac{1}{t^n} \Lambda_{1/t}^* \phi \rangle \\
 &= \frac{1}{t^n} \langle \partial^\alpha \delta, \phi(\frac{x}{t}) \rangle \\
 &= (-1)^{|\alpha|} \frac{1}{t^n} \langle \delta, \partial^\alpha \phi(\frac{x}{t}) \rangle \\
 &= (-1)^{|\alpha|} \frac{1}{t^n} \frac{1}{t^{|\alpha|}} (\partial^\alpha \phi)(0) \\
 &= \frac{1}{t^{n+|\alpha|}} \langle \partial^\alpha \delta, \phi \rangle.
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\Lambda_t^* \partial^\alpha \delta = \frac{1}{t^{n+|\alpha|}} \partial^\alpha \delta.$$

In view of Part (i), we conclude that the distributions $\delta, \delta', \dots, \delta^{(m)}$ on \mathbb{R} are linearly independent.

Example

- For $\lambda \geq 0$ we show that

$$x_+^\lambda = x^\lambda H, \quad x \in \mathbb{R},$$

is homogeneous of degree λ .

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \Lambda_t^* x_+^\lambda, \phi \rangle &= \langle x_+^\lambda, \frac{1}{t} \Lambda_{1/t}^* \phi \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{t} \int_0^\infty x^\lambda \phi\left(\frac{x}{t}\right) dx \\ &= \frac{1}{t} \int_0^\infty t^\lambda y^\lambda \phi(y) t dy \\ &= \langle t^\lambda x_+^\lambda, \phi \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\Lambda_t^* x_+^\lambda = t^\lambda x_+^\lambda.$$

Derivatives and Transforms of Homogeneous Distributions

Theorem

If $T \in \mathcal{S}'$ is homogeneous of degree d , then $\partial_k T$ is homogeneous of degree $d-1$ and \widehat{T} is homogeneous of degree $-n-d$.

- Let $\phi \in \mathcal{S}$ be homogeneous of degree d and t be a positive number. Then, by the chain rule, $\partial_k[\phi(tx)] = t(\partial_k\phi)(tx)$. Hence,

$$\Lambda_t^*(\partial_k\phi)(x) = (\partial_k\phi)(tx) = \frac{1}{t}\partial_k[\phi(tx)] = t^{d-1}(\partial_k\phi)(x).$$

This means that $\partial_k\phi$ is homogeneous of degree $d-1$.

- To obtain the result for $T \in \mathcal{S}'$, suppose the degree of T is d . We first note that, for all $\phi \in \mathcal{S}$,

$$\partial_k(\Lambda_t^*\phi)(x) = \partial_k[\phi(tx)] = t(\partial_k\phi)(tx) = t\Lambda_t^*(\partial_k\phi)(x).$$

Derivatives and Transforms of Homogeneous Distributions

- Keeping in mind $\Lambda_t^{-1} = \Lambda_{1/t}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Lambda_t^* \partial_k T(\phi) &= \partial_k T\left(\frac{1}{|\det \Lambda_t|} \Lambda_t^{-1*} \phi\right) \\
 &= -T(|\det \Lambda_{1/t}| \partial_k \Lambda_{1/t}^* \phi) \\
 &= -\frac{1}{t} T(|\det \Lambda_{1/t}| \Lambda_{1/t}^* \partial_k \phi) \\
 &= -\frac{1}{t} \Lambda_t^* T(\partial_k \phi) \\
 &= \frac{1}{t} \partial_k \Lambda_t^* T(\phi) \\
 &= t^{d-1} \partial_k T(\phi).
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\partial_k T$ has degree $d-1$.

Using the relations $\det \Lambda_t = t^n$ and $\Lambda_t^T = \Lambda_t$,

$$\widehat{\Lambda_t^* T} = \frac{1}{|\det \Lambda|} (\Lambda^{-1T})^* \widehat{T} = \frac{1}{t^n} \Lambda_{1/t}^* \widehat{T}, \quad T \in \mathcal{S}'.$$

If T is homogeneous of degree d , $t^d \widehat{T} = \frac{1}{t^n} \Lambda_{1/t}^* \widehat{T}$. So $\Lambda_t^* \widehat{T} = \frac{1}{t^{n+d}} \widehat{T}$.

Example

- Consider the function

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{z} = \frac{1}{x + iy}.$$

It is locally integrable in the plane.

Clearly, $|f(z)| < 1$ when $|z| > 1$.

Hence, f defines a tempered distribution in \mathbb{R}^2 .

We compute its Fourier transform.

$$\mathcal{F}(zf) = \mathcal{F}(1) = \widehat{\delta} = (2\pi)^2 \check{\delta} = (2\pi)^2 \delta.$$

Recalling the operator $\bar{\partial} = \frac{1}{2}(\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} + i\frac{\partial}{\partial \eta})$, we have,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}(zf) &= \mathcal{F}(xf) + i\mathcal{F}(yf) = i\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} \hat{f} - \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} \hat{f} \\ &= i(\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} + i\frac{\partial}{\partial \eta}) \hat{f} = 2i\bar{\partial} \hat{f}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $\frac{i\hat{f}}{2\pi^2}$ is a fundamental solution of the operator $\bar{\partial}$.

Example (Cont'd)

- By a previous example,

$$\frac{i}{2\pi} \widehat{f}(\zeta) = \frac{1}{\zeta} + h(\zeta),$$

where h is an entire function.

But f is homogeneous of degree -1 in \mathbb{R}^2 .

By the theorem, \widehat{f} is homogeneous of degree $-2 + 1 = -1$.

If h is not identically 0, it must also have degree -1 .

Hence,

$$h(t\zeta) = \frac{h(\zeta)}{t}, \quad t > 0.$$

This becomes unbounded as $t \rightarrow 0$.

Thus, $h = 0$.

So

$$\mathcal{F}\left(\frac{1}{z}\right) = \widehat{f}(\zeta) = -\frac{2\pi i}{\zeta}.$$

Orthogonal Transformations

- A linear transformation $\Lambda : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is said to be **orthogonal** if

$$\Lambda^T = \Lambda^{-1}.$$

- If Λ is orthogonal, then so is Λ^{-1} and $\det \Lambda = \pm 1$.

Claim: The transformation Λ is orthogonal if and only if it is norm-preserving.

An orthogonal transformation Λ satisfies, for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$|\Lambda x|^2 = \langle \Lambda x, \Lambda x \rangle = \langle x, \Lambda^T \Lambda x \rangle = \langle x, x \rangle = |x|^2.$$

Thus, $|\Lambda x| = |x|$.

Conversely, suppose $|\Lambda x| = |x|$, for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Then $\Lambda^T \Lambda = \text{identity}$. This implies that Λ is orthogonal.

Invariance

- A distribution $T \in \mathcal{D}'$ is **invariant** under the transformation $\Lambda : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ if

$$\Lambda^* T = T.$$

- A function $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is called **rotation-invariant**, or **spherically symmetric**, if there exists a function $g : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, such that

$$f(x) = g(|x|), \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Claim: A function is rotation invariant if and only if it is invariant under orthogonal transformations.

Suppose f is rotation-invariant. Then

$$\Lambda^* f(x) = f(\Lambda x) = g(|\Lambda x|) = g(|x|) = f(x).$$

So f is invariant under any orthogonal transformation Λ .

Conversely, a rotation in \mathbb{R}^n is an orthogonal transformation.

Invariance of the Fourier Transform

Theorem

If $T \in \mathcal{S}'$ is invariant under orthogonal transformations, then \widehat{T} is also invariant under orthogonal transformations.

- Suppose Λ is an orthogonal transformation.
If T is any distribution in \mathcal{S}' , then

$$\widehat{\Lambda^* T} = \frac{1}{|\det \Lambda|} (\Lambda^{-1T})^* \widehat{T} = \Lambda^* \widehat{T}.$$

Consequently,

$$\Lambda^* T = T \quad \text{if and only if} \quad \widehat{\Lambda^* T} = \widehat{T} \quad \text{if and only if} \quad \Lambda^* \widehat{T} = \widehat{T}.$$

- When a distribution is represented by a rotation-invariant function, the distribution is also said to be **rotation-invariant**.
- The theorem implies that if $T \in \mathcal{S}'$ is rotation invariant and \widehat{T} is a function, then \widehat{T} is also rotation invariant.