

# Introduction to Measure Theory

**George Voutsadakis<sup>1</sup>**

<sup>1</sup>Mathematics and Computer Science  
Lake Superior State University

LSSU Math 422

## 1 Measures and Outer Measures

- Measure on Rings
- Measure on Intervals
- Properties of Measures
- Outer Measures
- Measurable Sets

## Subsection 1

### Measure on Rings

# Finitely Additive and Countably Additive Set Functions

- A **set function** is a function whose domain is a class of sets.
- An extended real valued set function  $\mu$  defined on a class  $\mathbf{E}$  of sets is **additive** if, whenever  $E \in \mathbf{E}$ ,  $F \in \mathbf{E}$ ,  $E \cup F \in \mathbf{E}$  and  $E \cap F = \emptyset$ , then  $\mu(E \cup F) = \mu(E) + \mu(F)$ .
- An extended real valued set function  $\mu$  defined on a class  $\mathbf{E}$  is **finitely additive** if, for every finite, **disjoint** class  $\{E_1, \dots, E_n\}$  of sets in  $\mathbf{E}$  whose union is also in  $\mathbf{E}$ , we have

$$\mu \left( \bigcup_{i=1}^n E_i \right) = \sum_{i=1}^n \mu(E_i).$$

- An extended real valued set function  $\mu$  defined on a class  $\mathbf{E}$  is **countably additive** if, for every **disjoint** sequence  $\{E_n\}$  of sets in  $\mathbf{E}$ , whose union is also in  $\mathbf{E}$ , we have

$$\mu \left( \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n \right) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(E_n).$$

# Measures

- A **measure** is an extended real valued, non negative, and countably additive set function  $\mu$ , defined on a ring  $\mathbf{R}$ , and such that  $\mu(\emptyset) = 0$ .
- Rephrasing, a **measure** on a ring  $\mathbf{R}$  is a function

$$\mu : \mathbf{R} \rightarrow [0, \infty],$$

such that:

- $\mu(\emptyset) = 0$ ;
- $\mu$  is countably additive.
- In view of the identity

$$\bigcup_{i=1}^n E_i = E_1 \cup \dots \cup E_n \cup \emptyset \cup \dots ,$$

a **measure** is always finitely additive.

# An Example of a Measure

- A (rather trivial) measure may be obtained as follows:

Let  $f$  be an extended real valued, non negative function defined on  $X$ :

$$f : X \rightarrow [0, \infty].$$

Let the ring  $\mathbf{R}$  consist of all finite subsets of  $X$ .

Define  $\mu : \mathbf{R} \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  by:

$$\begin{aligned}\mu(\emptyset) &= 0; \\ \mu(\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}) &= \sum_{i=1}^n f(x_i).\end{aligned}$$

# Types of Measures

- If  $\mu$  is a measure on a ring  $\mathbf{R}$ , a set  $E$  in  $\mathbf{R}$  is said to **have finite measure** if  $\mu(E) < \infty$ .
- The measure of  $E$  is  **$\sigma$ -finite** if there exists a sequence  $\{E_n\}$  of sets in  $\mathbf{R}$  such that  $E \subseteq \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n$  and  $\mu(E_n) < \infty$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$
- If the measure of every set  $E$  in  $\mathbf{R}$  is finite or  $\sigma$ -finite, the measure  $\mu$  is called **finite** or  **$\sigma$ -finite**, respectively, on  $\mathbf{R}$ .
- If  $X \in \mathbf{R}$  (i.e., if  $\mathbf{R}$  is an algebra) and  $\mu(X)$  is finite or  $\sigma$ -finite, then  $\mu$  is called **totally finite** or **totally  $\sigma$ -finite**, respectively.
- The measure  $\mu$  is called **complete** if the conditions  $E \in \mathbf{R}$ ,  $F \subseteq E$  and  $\mu(E) = 0$  imply that  $F \in \mathbf{R}$ .

## Subsection 2

### Measure on Intervals



# Semi-Closed Internals of Real Numbers

- In this section the underlying space  $X$  is to be the **real line**.
- We denote by  $\mathbf{P}$  the class of all bounded, left closed, and right open intervals, i.e. the class of all sets of the form

$$\{x : -\infty < a \leq x < b < \infty\}.$$

- We denote by  $\mathbf{R}$  the class of all finite, disjoint unions of sets of  $\mathbf{P}$ , i.e., the class of all sets of the form

$$\bigcup_{i=1}^n \{x : -\infty < a_i \leq x < b_i < \infty\}.$$

A union of this form may be written as a disjoint union of the same form.

- For simplicity of language, we shall always use the expression “**semi-closed interval**” instead of “bounded, left closed, and right open interval”.

# Why Semi-Closed Intervals

- The consideration of semi-closed intervals, instead of open intervals or closed intervals is done for convenience:
  - For instance, if  $a, b, c$  and  $d$  are real numbers,  $-\infty < a < b < c < d < \infty$ , then the difference between the open intervals  $\{x : a < x < d\}$  and  $\{x : b < x < c\}$  is neither an open interval nor a finite union of open intervals.
  - The same negative statement holds for the closed intervals.
  - The fact that semi-closed intervals are better behaved in this respect is what makes them desirable.
- We write, for  $a \leq b$ :
  - $[a, b]$  for the closed interval,  $[a, b] = \{x : a \leq x \leq b\}$ ;
  - $[a, b)$  for the semiclosed interval,  $[a, b) = \{x : a \leq x < b\}$ ;
  - $(a, b)$  for the open interval,  $(a, b) = \{x : a < x < b\}$ .

# A Set Function on Semi-Closed Intervals

- On the class  $\mathcal{P}$  of semi-closed intervals we define a set function

$$\mu([a, b)) = b - a.$$

- When  $a = b$ , the interval reduces to the empty set:

$$\mu(\emptyset) = 0.$$

# A Property of $\mu$

## Theorem

If  $\{E_1, \dots, E_n\}$  is a finite, disjoint class of sets in  $\mathbf{P}$ , each contained in a given set  $E_0$  in  $\mathbf{P}$ , then

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \mu(E_i) \leq \mu(E_0).$$

- Write  $E_i = [a_i, b_i)$ ,  $i = 0, 1, \dots, n$ .

Without loss of generality, assume  $a_1 \leq a_2 \leq \dots \leq a_n$ .

It follows from the assumption on  $\{E_1, \dots, E_n\}$  that

$$a_0 \leq a_1 \leq b_1 \leq \dots \leq a_n \leq b_n \leq b_0.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^n \mu(E_i) &= \sum_{i=1}^n (b_i - a_i) \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^n (b_i - a_i) + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (a_{i+1} - b_i) \\ &= b_n - a_1 \leq b_0 - a_0 = \mu(E_0). \end{aligned}$$

# A Closed Interval in the Union of Open Intervals

## Theorem

If a closed interval  $F_0$ ,  $F_0 = [a_0, b_0]$ , is contained in the union of a finite number of bounded, open intervals,  $U_1, \dots, U_n$ ,  $U_i = (a_i, b_i)$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , then

$$b_0 - a_0 < \sum_{i=1}^n (b_i - a_i).$$

- Let  $k_1$  be such that  $a_0 \in U_{k_1}$ .

If  $b_{k_1} \leq b_0$ , then let  $k_2$  be such that  $b_{k_1} \in U_{k_2}$ .

If  $b_{k_2} \leq b_0$ , then let  $k_3$  be such that  $b_{k_2} \in U_{k_3}$ .

Continue in the same way, by induction.

The process stops with  $k_m$  if  $b_{k_m} > b_0$ .

Without loss of generality, assume  $m = n$  and  $U_{k_i} = U_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ .

This state of affairs may be achieved merely by omitting superfluous  $U_i$ 's and changing the notation.

# A Closed Interval in the Union of Open Intervals (Cont'd)

- In other words we may (and do) assume that:
  - $a_1 < a_0 < b_1$ ;
  - $a_n < b_0 < b_n$ ;
  - $a_{i+1} < b_i < b_{i+1}$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n-1$ ,  $n > 1$ .

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} b_0 - a_0 &< b_n - a_1 \\ &= (b_1 - a_1) + \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} (b_{i+1} - b_i) \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^n (b_i - a_i). \end{aligned}$$

# Domination of the Sum of a Covering

## Theorem

If  $\{E_0, E_1, E_2, \dots\}$  is a sequence of sets in  $\mathbf{P}$ , such that  $E_0 \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i$ , then

$$\mu(E_0) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu(E_i).$$

- We write  $E_i = [a_i, b_i)$ ,  $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ . If  $a_0 = b_0$ , the theorem is trivial. Otherwise let  $\epsilon$  be a positive number such that  $\epsilon < b_0 - a_0$ . For any  $\delta > 0$ , set  $F_0 = [a_0, b_0 - \epsilon]$  and  $U_i = (a_i - \frac{\delta}{2^i}, b_i)$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ . Then we get  $F_0 \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} U_i$ . By the Heine-Borel Theorem, there is a positive integer  $n$ , such that  $F_0 \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n U_i$ .  
By the preceding theorem,

$$\mu(E_0) - \epsilon = (b_0 - a_0) - \epsilon < \sum_{i=1}^n (b_i - a_i + \frac{\delta}{2^i}) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu(E_i) + \delta.$$

Since  $\epsilon$  and  $\delta$  are arbitrary, the conclusion follows.

# Countable Additivity of the Measure on $\mathcal{P}$

## Theorem

The set function  $\mu$  is countably additive on  $\mathcal{P}$ .

- Let  $\{E_i\}$  be a disjoint sequence of sets in  $\mathcal{P}$  whose union,  $E$ , is also in  $\mathcal{P}$ .

By a preceding theorem, we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \mu(E_i) \leq \mu(E), \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

It follows that  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu(E_i) \leq \mu(E)$ .

But, by the preceding theorem  $\mu(E) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu(E_i)$ .

Therefore, we get equality.



# The Measure $\bar{\mu}$ on $\mathbf{R}$

## Theorem

There exists a unique, finite measure  $\bar{\mu}$  on the ring  $\mathbf{R}$ , such that,

$$\bar{\mu}(E) = \mu(E), \quad \text{for all } E \in \mathbf{P}.$$

- We know that every set  $E$  in  $\mathbf{R}$  may be represented as a finite, disjoint union of sets in  $\mathbf{P}$ . Suppose that

$$E = \bigcup_{i=1}^n E_i \quad \text{and} \quad E = \bigcup_{j=1}^m F_j$$

are two such representations of the same set  $E$ .

Then, for each  $i = 1, \dots, n$ ,

$$E_i = \bigcup_{j=1}^m (E_i \cap F_j)$$

is a representation of  $E_i \in \mathbf{P}$  as a finite, disjoint union of sets in  $\mathbf{P}$ .

# The Measure $\bar{\mu}$ on $\mathcal{R}$ (Cont'd)

- Therefore, since  $\mu$  is finitely additive,

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \mu(E_i) = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m \mu(E_i \cap F_j).$$

Similarly,

$$\sum_{j=1}^m \mu(F_j) = \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{i=1}^n \mu(E_i \cap F_j).$$

It follows that, for every  $E$  in  $\mathcal{R}$ , the function  $\bar{\mu}$  is unambiguously defined by the equation

$$\bar{\mu}(E) = \sum_{i=1}^n \mu(E_i),$$

where  $\{E_1, \dots, E_n\}$  is a finite, disjoint class of sets in  $\mathcal{P}$  whose union is  $E$ .

# The Measure $\bar{\mu}$ on $\mathcal{R}$ (Uniqueness)

- Clearly, we have:
  - The function  $\bar{\mu}$  coincides with  $\mu$  on  $\mathcal{P}$ ;
  - The function  $\bar{\mu}$  is finitely additive.

Any function satisfying these conditions must be finitely additive when the terms of the union are in  $\mathcal{P}$ .

It follows that  $\bar{\mu}$  is unique.

# The Measure $\bar{\mu}$ on $\mathbf{R}$ (Countable Additivity)

- We are left with showing that  $\bar{\mu}$  is countably additive.

Let  $\{E_i\}$  be a disjoint sequence of sets in  $\mathbf{R}$  whose union  $E$  is in  $\mathbf{R}$ . Then, each  $E_i$  is a finite, disjoint union of sets in  $\mathbf{P}$ ,  $E_i = \bigcup_j E_{ij}$  and  $\bar{\mu}(E_i) = \sum_j \mu(E_{ij})$ .

- If  $E \in \mathbf{P}$ , then, since the class of all  $E_n$  is countable and disjoint, it follows from the countable additivity of  $\mu$  that

$$\bar{\mu}(E) = \mu(E) = \sum_i \sum_j \mu(E_{ij}) = \sum_i \bar{\mu}(E_i).$$

- In the general case,  $E$  is a finite, disjoint union of sets in  $\mathbf{P}$ ,  $E = \bigcup_k F_k$ . Using the result just obtained, we have

$$\bar{\mu}(E) = \sum_k \bar{\mu}(F_k) = \sum_k \sum_i \bar{\mu}(E_i \cap F_k) = \sum_i \sum_k \bar{\mu}(E_i \cap F_k) = \sum_i \bar{\mu}(E_i).$$

- We may now, without any possibility of confusion, write  $\mu(E)$  instead of  $\bar{\mu}(E)$  even for sets  $E$  which are in  $\mathbf{R}$  but not in  $\mathbf{P}$ .

## Subsection 3

### Properties of Measures

# Monotone and Subtractive Set Functions

- An extended real valued set function  $\mu$  on a class  $\mathbf{E}$  is **monotone** if, whenever  $E \in \mathbf{E}$ ,  $F \in \mathbf{E}$ ,

$$E \subseteq F \quad \text{implies} \quad \mu(E) \leq \mu(F).$$

- An extended real valued set function  $\mu$  on a class  $\mathbf{E}$  is **subtractive** if, whenever  $E \in \mathbf{E}$ ,  $F \in \mathbf{E}$ , such that  $E \subseteq F$ ,

$$F - E \in \mathbf{E} \quad \text{and} \quad |\mu(E)| < \infty \quad \text{imply} \quad \mu(F - E) = \mu(F) - \mu(E).$$

# Measures are Monotone and Subtractive

## Theorem

If  $\mu$  is a measure on a ring  $\mathbf{R}$ , then  $\mu$  is monotone and subtractive.

- Suppose  $E \in \mathbf{R}$ ,  $F \in \mathbf{R}$ , and  $E \subseteq F$ .

Since  $\mathbf{R}$  is a ring,  $F - E \in \mathbf{R}$ .

Since  $\mu$  is a measure,

$$\mu(F) = \mu(E) + \mu(F - E).$$

- By nonnegativity,

$$\mu(F) = \mu(E) + \mu(F - E) \leq \mu(E).$$

- If  $|\mu(E)| < \infty$ , then

$$\mu(F) - \mu(E) = \mu(F - E).$$

Hence,  $\mu$  is subtractive.

# The Measure of a Set Included in a Union

## Theorem

If  $\mu$  is a measure on a ring  $\mathbf{R}$ , if  $E \in \mathbf{R}$ , and if  $\{E_i\}$  is a finite or infinite sequence of sets in  $\mathbf{R}$ , such that  $E \subseteq \bigcup_i E_i$ , then

$$\mu(E) \leq \sum_i \mu(E_i).$$

- If  $\{F_i\}$  is any sequence of sets in a ring  $\mathbf{R}$ , then there exists a disjoint sequence  $\{G_i\}$  of sets in  $\mathbf{R}$ , such that  $G_i \subseteq F_i$  and  $\bigcup_i G_i = \bigcup_i F_i$ :  
E.g., set  $G_i = F_i - \bigcup\{F_j : 1 \leq j < i\}$ .

We apply this result to the sequence  $\{E \cap E_i\}$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(E) &= \mu(E \cap \bigcup_i E_i) = \mu(\bigcup_i (E \cap E_i)) \\ &= \mu(\bigcup_i G_i) = \sum_i \mu(G_i) \\ &\leq \sum_i \mu(E \cap E_i) \leq \sum_i \mu(E_i). \end{aligned}$$



# The Measure of a Set Covering a Union

## Theorem

If  $\mu$  is a measure on a ring  $\mathbf{R}$ , if  $E \in \mathbf{R}$ , and if  $E_i$  is a finite or infinite disjoint sequence of sets in  $\mathbf{R}$ , such that  $\bigcup_i E_i \subseteq E$ , then

$$\sum_i \mu(E_i) \leq \mu(E).$$

- If the sequence  $\{E_i\}$  is finite, then  $\bigcup_i E_i \in \mathbf{R}$ ,  
It follows that

$$\sum_i \mu(E_i) = \mu\left(\bigcup_i E_i\right) \leq \mu(E).$$

The validity of the inequality for an infinite sequence of sets is a consequence of its validity for every finite subsequence.

# Measure of the Limit of an Increasing Sequence

## Theorem

If  $\mu$  is a measure on a ring  $\mathbf{R}$  and if  $\{E_n\}$  is an increasing sequence of sets in  $\mathbf{R}$  for which  $\lim_n E_n \in \mathbf{R}$ , then

$$\mu\left(\lim_n E_n\right) = \lim_n \mu(E_n).$$

- If we write  $E_0 = \emptyset$ , then

$$\begin{aligned}\mu(\lim_n E_n) &= \mu(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i) \\ &= \mu(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} (E_i - E_{i-1})) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu(E_i - E_{i-1}) \\ &= \lim_n \sum_{i=1}^n \mu(E_i - E_{i-1}) \\ &= \lim_n \mu(\bigcup_{i=1}^n (E_i - E_{i-1})) \\ &= \lim_n \mu(E_n).\end{aligned}$$

# Measure of the Limit of a Decreasing Sequence

## Theorem

If  $\mu$  is a measure on a ring  $\mathbf{R}$ , and if  $\{E_n\}$  is a decreasing sequence of sets in  $\mathbf{R}$  of which at least one has finite measure and for which  $\lim_n E_n \in \mathbf{R}$ , then

$$\mu\left(\lim_n E_n\right) = \lim_n \mu(E_n).$$

- If  $\mu(E_m) < \infty$ , then  $\mu(E_n) \leq \mu(E_m) < \infty$ , for  $n \geq m$ . Therefore,  $\mu(\lim_n E_n) < \infty$ . Note that  $\{E_m - E_n\}$  is an increasing sequence:

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(E_m) - \mu(\lim_n E_n) &= \mu(E_m - \lim_n E_n) \\ &= \mu(\lim_n (E_m - E_n)) \\ &= \lim_n \mu(E_m - E_n) \\ &= \lim_n (\mu(E_m) - \mu(E_n)) \\ &= \mu(E_m) - \lim_n \mu(E_n). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\mu(E_m) < \infty$ , the proof of the theorem is complete.

# Continuity from Below/from Above

- We shall say that an extended real valued set function  $\mu$  defined on a class  $\mathbf{E}$  is **continuous from below** at a set  $E$  (in  $\mathbf{E}$ ) if, for every increasing sequence  $\{E_n\}$  of sets in  $\mathbf{E}$  for which  $\lim_n E_n = E$ , we have

$$\lim_n \mu(E_n) = \mu(E).$$

- Similarly  $\mu$  is **continuous from above** at  $E$  if, for every decreasing sequence  $\{E_n\}$  of sets in  $\mathbf{E}$  for which  $|\mu(E_m)| < \infty$ , for at least one value of  $m$ , and for which  $\lim_n E_n = E$ , we have

$$\lim_n \mu(E_n) = \mu(E).$$

- The preceding two theorems assert that, if  $\mu$  is a measure, then  $\mu$  is continuous from above and from below (at every set in the ring of definition of  $\mu$ ).

# Continuity and Measures

## Theorem

Let  $\mu$  be a finite, nonnegative, and additive set function on a ring  $\mathbf{R}$ . If  $\mu$  is either continuous from below at every  $E$  in  $\mathbf{R}$ , or continuous from above at  $\emptyset$ , then  $\mu$  is a measure on  $\mathbf{R}$ .

- The additivity of  $\mu$ , together with the fact that  $\mathbf{R}$  is a ring, implies, by mathematical induction, that  $\mu$  is **finitely additive**.

Let  $\{E_n\}$  be a disjoint sequence of sets in  $\mathbf{R}$ , whose union  $E$  is in  $\mathbf{R}$ .

Write

$$F_n = \bigcup_{i=1}^n E_i \quad \text{and} \quad G_n = E - F_n.$$

# Continuity and Measures (Cont'd)

- Suppose  $\mu$  is continuous from below.  
 $\{F_n\}$  is an increasing sequence of sets in  $\mathbf{R}$  with  $\lim_n F_n = E$ .

$$\mu(E) = \lim_n \mu(F_n) = \lim_n \sum_{i=1}^n \mu(E_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu(E_i).$$

- Suppose  $\mu$  is continuous from above at  $\emptyset$ .  
 $\{G_n\}$  is a decreasing sequence of sets in  $\mathbf{R}$ , with  $\lim_n G_n = \emptyset$ , and  $\mu$  is finite.

$$\mu(E) = \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \mu(E_i) \right) + \mu(G_n) = \lim_n \sum_{i=1}^n \mu(E_i) + \lim_n \mu(G_n) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu(E_i).$$

In either case  $\mu$  is countably additive.

## Subsection 4

### Outer Measures

# Hereditary Classes

- A non empty class  $\mathbf{E}$  of sets is **hereditary** if,

$$E \in \mathbf{E} \quad \text{and} \quad F \subseteq E \quad \text{imply} \quad F \in \mathbf{E}.$$

**Example:** The class of all subsets of some subset  $E$  of  $X$  is a typical example of a hereditary class.

- The intersection of every collection of hereditary classes is again a hereditary class.
- Thus, corresponding to any class of sets, there is a smallest hereditary class containing it.
- A hereditary class is a  $\sigma$ -ring if and only if it is closed under the formation of countable unions.



# Hereditary $\sigma$ -Ring Generated by a Class

- If  $\mathbf{E}$  is any class of sets, the hereditary  $\sigma$ -ring generated by  $\mathbf{E}$ , i.e., the smallest hereditary  $\sigma$ -ring containing  $\mathbf{E}$ , is denoted by  $\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{E})$ .
- The hereditary  $\sigma$ -ring generated by  $\mathbf{E}$  is, in fact, the class of all sets which can be covered by countably many sets in  $\mathbf{E}$ .
- Thus, if  $\mathbf{E}$  is a non empty class closed under the formation of countable unions (e.g., if  $\mathbf{E}$  is a  $\sigma$ -ring), then  $\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{E})$  is the class of all sets which are subsets of some set in  $\mathbf{E}$ .

# Subadditivity

- An extended real valued set function  $\mu^*$  defined on a class  $\mathbf{E}$  of sets is **subadditive** if, whenever  $E \in \mathbf{E}$ ,  $F \in \mathbf{E}$ , and  $E \cup F \in \mathbf{E}$ , then

$$\mu^*(E \cup F) \leq \mu^*(E) + \mu^*(F).$$

- An extended real valued set function  $\mu^*$  on  $\mathbf{E}$  is **finitely subadditive** if, for every finite class  $\{E_1, \dots, E_n\}$  of sets in  $\mathbf{E}$  whose union is also in  $\mathbf{E}$ , we have

$$\mu^*\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^n E_i\right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \mu^*(E_i).$$

- An extended real valued set function  $\mu^*$  on  $\mathbf{E}$  is **countably subadditive** if, for every sequence  $\{E_i\}$  of sets in  $\mathbf{E}$  whose union is also in  $\mathbf{E}$ , we have

$$\mu^*\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i\right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu^*(E_i).$$

# Outer Measures

- An **outer measure** is an extended real valued, non negative, set function  $\mu^*$ , defined on a hereditary  $\sigma$ -ring  $\mathbf{H}$ , such that:
  - $\mu^*(\emptyset) = 0$ ;
  - $\mu^*$  is monotone;
  - $\mu^*$  is countably subadditive.
- An outer measure is necessarily finitely subadditive.
- The same terminology concerning [**total**] **finiteness** and  $\sigma$ -**finiteness** is used for outer measures as for measures.
- Outer measures arise naturally in the attempt to extend measures from rings to larger classes of sets.

# Extensions of Measures

## Theorem

If  $\mu$  is a measure on a ring  $\mathbf{R}$  and if, for every  $E$  in  $\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{R})$ ,

$$\mu^*(E) = \inf \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(E_n) : E_n \in \mathbf{R}, n = 1, 2, \dots, E \subseteq \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n \right\},$$

then  $\mu^*$  is an extension of  $\mu$  to an outer measure on  $\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{R})$ .

If  $\mu$  is [totally]  $\sigma$ -finite, then so is  $\mu^*$ .

- $\mu^*(E)$  is the lower bound of sums of the type  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(E_n)$ , where  $\{E_n\}$  is a sequence of sets in  $\mathbf{R}$  whose union contains  $E$ .
- $\mu^*$  is called the **outer measure induced by** the measure  $\mu$ .

# Extensions of Measures (Extension)

- Suppose  $E \in \mathbf{R}$ .
  - On the one hand,  $E \subseteq E \cup \emptyset \cup \emptyset \cup \dots$ .  
Therefore,  $\mu^*(E) \leq \mu(E) + \mu(\emptyset) + \mu(\emptyset) + \dots = \mu(E)$ .
  - On the other, if  $E_n \in \mathbf{R}$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ , and  $E \subseteq \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n$ , then

$$\mu(E) \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(E_n).$$

Thus,  $\mu(E) \leq \mu^*(E)$ .

This proves that  $\mu^*$  is indeed an extension of  $\mu$ , i.e., that, if  $E \in \mathbf{R}$ , then  $\mu^*(E) = \mu(E)$ .

In particular,  $\mu^*(\emptyset) = 0$ .

# Extensions of Measures (Countable Subadditivity)

- Suppose  $E \in \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{R})$ ,  $F \in \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{R})$ , such that  $E \subseteq F$ .  
Let  $\{E_n\}$  be a sequence of sets in  $\mathbf{R}$  which covers  $F$ .  
Then  $\{E_n\}$  also covers  $E$ . So  $\mu^*(E) \leq \mu^*(F)$ , i.e.,  $\mu^*$  is **monotone**.
- To prove that  $\mu^*$  is **countably subadditive**, suppose that  $E$  and  $E_i$  are sets in  $\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{R})$ , such that  $E \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i$ .  
By the definition of  $\mu^*(E_i)$ , there exists, for every  $\epsilon > 0$  and all  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ , a sequence  $E_{ij}$  of sets in  $\mathbf{R}$ , such that

$$E_i \subseteq \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} E_{ij} \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mu(E_{ij}) \leq \mu^*(E_i) + \frac{\epsilon}{2^i}.$$

Then, since the  $E_{ij}$ 's form a countable class of sets in  $\mathbf{R}$  covering  $E$ ,

$$\mu^*(E) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mu(E_{ij}) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu^*(E_i) + \epsilon.$$

$\epsilon$  arbitrary implies that  $\mu^*(E) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu^*(E_i)$ .

# Extensions of Measures (Finiteness)

- Suppose, finally, that  $\mu$  is  $\sigma$ -finite.

Let  $E$  be any set in  $\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{R})$ .

By the definition of  $\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{R})$ , there exists a sequence  $\{E_i\}$  of sets in  $\mathbf{R}$ , such that  $E \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i$ .

Since  $\mu$  is  $\sigma$ -finite, there exists, for each  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ , a sequence  $\{E_{ij}\}$  of sets in  $\mathbf{R}$ , such that

$$E_i \subseteq \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} E_{ij} \quad \text{and} \quad \mu(E_{ij}) < \infty.$$

Consequently,  $E \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} E_{ij}$  and  $\mu^*(E_{ij}) = \mu(E_{ij}) < \infty$ .

Thus,  $\mu^*$  is  $\sigma$ -finite.

## Subsection 5

### Measurable Sets



# $\mu^*$ -Measurability

- Let  $\mu^*$  be an outer measure on a hereditary  $\sigma$ -ring  $\mathbf{H}$ .  
A set  $E$  in  $\mathbf{H}$  is  $\mu^*$ -**measurable** if, for every set  $A$  in  $\mathbf{H}$ ,

$$\mu^*(A) = \mu^*(A \cap E) + \mu^*(A \cap E').$$

- The concept of  $\mu^*$ -measurability is the most important one in the theory of outer measures.
- An outer measure is not necessarily a countably, nor even finitely, additive set function.
- In an attempt to satisfy the reasonable requirement of additivity, we single out those sets which split every other set additively, giving rise to the definition of  $\mu^*$ -measurability.
- The greatest justification of this concept is its success as a tool in proving the important and useful **extension theorem** for measures.

# The Ring of Measurable Sets

## Theorem

If  $\mu^*$  is an outer measure on a hereditary  $\sigma$ -ring  $\mathbf{H}$  and if  $\overline{\mathbf{S}}$  is the class of all  $\mu^*$ -measurable sets, then  $\overline{\mathbf{S}}$  is a ring.

- If  $E$  and  $F$  are in  $\overline{\mathbf{S}}$  and  $A \in \mathbf{H}$ , then:
  - (a)  $\mu^*(A) = \mu^*(A \cap E) + \mu^*(A \cap E')$ ;
  - (b)  $\mu^*(A \cap E) = \mu^*(A \cap E \cap F) + \mu^*(A \cap E \cap F')$ ;
  - (c)  $\mu^*(A \cap E') = \mu^*(A \cap E' \cap F) + \mu^*(A \cap E' \cap F')$ .

Substituting (b) and (c) into (a) we obtain

$$(d) \quad \mu^*(A) = \mu^*(A \cap E \cap F) + \mu^*(A \cap E \cap F') \\ + \mu^*(A \cap E' \cap F) + \mu^*(A \cap E' \cap F').$$

# The Ring of Measurable Sets (Cont'd)

- We got

$$(d) \quad \mu^*(A) = \mu^*(A \cap E \cap F) + \mu^*(A \cap E \cap F') + \mu^*(A \cap E' \cap F) + \mu^*(A \cap E' \cap F').$$

If in equation (d) we replace  $A$  by  $A \cap (E \cup F)$ , the first three terms of the right hand side remain unaltered and the last term drops out:

$$(e) \quad \mu^*(A \cap (E \cup F)) = \mu^*(A \cap E \cap F) + \mu^*(A \cap E \cap F') + \mu^*(A \cap E' \cap F).$$

Since  $E' \cap F' = (E \cup F)'$ , substituting (e) into (d) yields

$$(f) \quad \mu^*(A) = \mu^*(A \cap (E \cup F)) + \mu^*(A \cap (E \cup F)').$$

This proves that  $E \cup F \in \overline{\mathcal{S}}$ .

# The Ring of Measurable Sets (Cont'd)

- We got

$$(d) \quad \mu^*(A) = \mu^*(A \cap E \cap F) + \mu^*(A \cap E \cap F') + \mu^*(A \cap E' \cap F) + \mu^*(A \cap E' \cap F').$$

If we replace  $A$  in equation (d) by  $A \cap (E - F)' = A \cap (E' \cup F)$ , we get

$$(g) \quad \mu^*(A \cap (E - F)') = \mu^*(A \cap E \cap F) + \mu^*(A \cap E' \cap F) + \mu^*(A \cap E' \cap F').$$

Since  $E \cap F' = E - F$ , substituting (g) into (d) yields

$$(h) \quad \mu^*(A) = \mu^*(A \cap (E - F)) + \mu^*(A \cap (E - F)').$$

This proves that  $E - F \in \overline{\mathcal{S}}$ .

Since it is clear that  $E = \emptyset$  satisfies (a), it follows that  $\overline{\mathcal{S}}$  is a ring.

## Remark

- Suppose  $\mu^*$  is an outer measure on a hereditary  $\sigma$ -ring  $\mathbf{H}$ . Let  $E$  in  $\mathbf{H}$  be such that, for every  $A$  in  $\mathbf{H}$ ,

$$\mu^*(A) \geq \mu^*(A \cap E) + \mu^*(A \cap E').$$

Then  $E$  is  $\mu^*$ -measurable.

- For the proof, recall that

$$\mu^*(A) \leq \mu^*(A \cap E) + \mu^*(A \cap E')$$

is an automatic consequence of the subadditivity of  $\mu^*$ .

# Structure of Measurable Sets on a Hereditary $\sigma$ -Ring

## Theorem

If  $\mu^*$  is an outer measure on a hereditary  $\sigma$ -ring  $\mathbf{H}$  and if  $\overline{\mathcal{S}}$  is the class of all  $\mu^*$ -measurable sets, then  $\overline{\mathcal{S}}$  is a  $\sigma$ -ring. If  $A \in \mathbf{H}$  and if  $\{E_n\}$  is a disjoint sequence of sets in  $\overline{\mathcal{S}}$ , with  $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n = E$ , then

$$\mu^*(A \cap E) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu^*(A \cap E_n).$$

- In the preceding proof, we showed

$$(e) \quad \mu^*(A \cap (E \cup F)) = \mu^*(A \cap E \cap F) + \mu^*(A \cap E \cap F') + \mu^*(A \cap E' \cap F).$$

Replacing  $E$  and  $F$  in (e) by  $E_1$  and  $E_2$ , respectively, we get

$$\mu^*(A \cap (E_1 \cup E_2)) = \mu^*(A \cap E_1) + \mu^*(A \cap E_2).$$

# The Proof

- From  $\mu^*(A \cap (E_1 \cup E_2)) = \mu^*(A \cap E_1) + \mu^*(A \cap E_2)$ , it follows by mathematical induction, that

$$\mu^* \left( A \cap \bigcup_{i=1}^n E_i \right) = \sum_{i=1}^n \mu^*(A \cap E_i),$$

for every positive integer  $n$ .

Write  $F_n = \bigcup_{i=1}^n E_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots$

Then, by the preceding theorem,

$$\begin{aligned} \mu^*(A) &= \mu^*(A \cap F_n) + \mu^*(A \cap F_n^c) \\ &\geq \sum_{i=1}^n \mu^*(A \cap E_i) + \mu^*(A \cap E'). \end{aligned}$$

Since this is true for every  $n$ , we obtain

$$(i) \quad \mu^*(A) \geq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu^*(A \cap E_i) + \mu^*(A \cap E') \geq \mu^*(A \cap E) + \mu^*(A \cap E').$$

Thus  $E \in \overline{\mathcal{S}}$ .

So  $\overline{\mathcal{S}}$  is closed under the formation of disjoint countable unions.

# The Proof (Cont'd)

- Since  $E \in \overline{\mathcal{S}}$ ,

$$(j) \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu^*(A \cap E_i) + \mu^*(A \cap E') = \mu^*(A \cap E) + \mu^*(A \cap E').$$

Replacing  $A$  by  $A \cap E$  in (j), we obtain that, if  $A \in \mathbf{H}$  and if  $\{E_n\}$  is a disjoint sequence of sets in  $\overline{\mathcal{S}}$  with  $\bigcup_{i=1}^n E_n = E$ , then

$$\mu^*(A \cap E) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu^*(A \cap E_n).$$

Since every countable union of sets in a ring may be written as a disjoint countable union of sets, we see also that  $\overline{\mathcal{S}}$  is a  $\sigma$ -ring.



# Measures Induced by Outer Measures

## Theorem

If  $\mu^*$  is an outer measure on a hereditary  $\sigma$ -ring  $\mathbf{H}$  and if  $\overline{\mathcal{S}}$  is the class of all  $\mu^*$ -measurable sets, then:

- Every set of outer measure zero belongs to  $\overline{\mathcal{S}}$ ;
- The set function  $\overline{\mu}$ , defined for  $E$  in  $\overline{\mathcal{S}}$  by  $\overline{\mu}(E) = \mu^*(E)$ , is a complete measure on  $\overline{\mathcal{S}}$ .

- $\overline{\mu}$  is called the **measure induced by** the outer measure  $\mu^*$ .
- Suppose  $E \in \mathbf{H}$  and  $\mu^*(E) = 0$ . For every  $A$  in  $\mathbf{H}$ , we have

$$\mu^*(A) = \mu^*(E) + \mu^*(A) \geq \mu^*(A \cap E) + \mu^*(A \cap E').$$

Thus,  $E \in \overline{\mathcal{S}}$ .

- Countable additivity of  $\overline{\mu}$  on  $\overline{\mathcal{S}}$  follows from (j) upon replacing  $A$  by  $E$ .
- For completeness, suppose  $E \in \overline{\mathcal{S}}$ ,  $F \subseteq E$  and  $\overline{\mu}(E) = \mu^*(E) = 0$ . Then  $\mu^*(F) = 0$ . So  $F \in \overline{\mathcal{S}}$ .